European Community Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC)

Fourth Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17

on the implementation of the Directive from January 2013 to December 2018

Conservation status assessment for the habitat:

H2330 - Inland dunes with open *Corynephorus* and *Agrostis* grasslands

UNITED KINGDOM

IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ

- The information in this document represents the UK Report on the conservation status of this habitat, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.
- It is based on supporting information provided by the geographically-relevant Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies, which is documented separately.
- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information contributed to the UK Report and the fields that were completed for each parameter.
- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.
- Maps showing the distribution and range of the habitat are included (where available).
- Explanatory notes (where provided) are included at the end. These provide additional audit trail information to that included within the UK assessments. Further underpinning explanatory notes are available in the related country-level and/or UK offshorelevel reports.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; and/or (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory.
- The UK-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, https://jncc.gov.uk/article17, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	UK
------------------	----

1.2 Habitat code 2330 - Inland dunes with open Corynephorus and Agrostis grasslands

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period 2013-2018

2.3 Distribution map Yes

2.3 Distribution map Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

2.4 Additional maps

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs

Atlantic (ATL)

3.2 Sources of information

England

Natural England Protected sites internal database CMSI - Designated Sites View -Report of Site condition for the 2 sites with the habitat - RAF Lakenheath & Wangford Warren SSSIs (Component sites of the Breckland SAC) JNCC reporting data for H2330 submittted to EU for the 2013 Article 17 reporting round.

4. Range

4.1 Surface area (in km²)

4.2 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

4.3 Short-term trend Direction

4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude

4.5 Short-term trend Method used

4.6 Long-term trend Period

4.7 Long-term trend Direction

4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude

4.9 Long-term trend Method used

4.10 Favourable reference range

1100

Stable (0)

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

a) Area (km²) 1100

b) Operator

c) Unknown

No d) Method

The FRR is approximately equal to the current range area. The approach taken to set the FRR is explained in the 2007

and 2013 UK Article 17 habitat reports (see http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-4064 and

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-6563).

4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

No change

The change is mainly due to:

4.12 Additional information

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	2013-2018			
5.2 Surface area (in km²)	a) Minimum		b) Maximum	c) Best single 1.2 value
5.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate			
5.4 Surface area Method used	Complete surv	ey or a stat	istically robust estir	nate
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018			
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)			
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum		b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Complete surv	ey or a stat	istically robust estir	nate
5.9 Long-term trend Period				
5.10 Long-term trend Direction				
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum		b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.12 Long-term trend Method used				
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km²) b) Operator	1.2		
	c) Unknown	No		
	d) Method	approach UK Articl	taken to set the FI	ual to the current area. The RA is explained in the 2007 and 2013 (see http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/pagegov.uk/page
5.14 Change and reason for change	No change			
in surface area of range	The change is r	mainly due	to:	
5.15 Additional information				

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km²)	Minimum 1.2	Maximum 1	.2
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum 0	Maximum 0	
	c) Area where condition is not known (km²)	Minimum 0	Maximum 0	
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Complete survey or a statist	tically robust estimate		
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	2007-2018			
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)			
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area	Complete survey or a statist	tically robust estimate		
in good condition Method used	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous No		No	
6.6 Typical species	reporting period?			NO
6.7 Typical species Method used				
6.8 Additional information				

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Ranking
Н
Н
Н
Ranking
Н
Н

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

JO3: Mixed source air pollution, air-borne pollutants is ranked as a High ranked pressure and threat, due to the nutrient N critical load for the habitat being exceeded across >25% of the habitat area

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed?	Yes	
	b) Indicate the status of measures	Measures identified and taken	
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Maintain the current range, populat	ion and/or habitat for the species	
8.3 Location of the measures taken	Only inside Natura 2000		
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)		
8.5 List of main conservation measures			

Maintain existing extensive agricultural practices and agricultural landscape features (CA03)

Reduce impact of mixed source pollution (CJ01)

Management of habitats (others than agriculture and forest) to slow, stop or reverse natural processes (CLO1)

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters a) Range Good
b) Area Good
c) Structure and functions Poor

9.2 Additional information

Future trend of Range is Overall stable; Future trend of Area is Overall stable; and Future trend of Structure and functions is Negative - slight/moderate deterioration.

The Future prospects for Structure and functions takes into account that at least 25% of the habitat area is expected to be in unfavourable (not good) condition in c.2030 due to nutrient N critical load exceedance, unless measures are taken to

reduce N deposition impacts.

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range

10.2. Area

10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)

10.4. Future prospects

10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

10.8 Additional information

10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

Favourable (FV)

Favourable (FV)

Favourable (FV)

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

Stable (=)

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

Genuine change

The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

Conclusion on Range reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Range surface area is stable; and (ii) the current Range surface area is approximately equal to the Favourable Reference Range.

Conclusion on Area covered by habitat reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Area is stable; and (ii) the current Area is approximately equal to the Favourable Reference Area.

Conclusion on Structure and functions reached because habitat condition data indicates that less than c.5% of the habitat is in unfavourable (not good) condition.

Conclusion on Future prospects reached because: (i) the Future prospects for Range are good; (ii) the Future prospects for Area covered by habitat are good; and (iii) the Future prospects for Structure and functions are poor.

Overall assessment of Conservation Status is Unfavourable-inadequate because one of the conclusions is Unfavourable-inadequate and none are Unfavourable-bad

Overall trend in Conservation Status is based on the combination of the short-term trends for Range - stable, Area covered by habitat - stable, and Structure and functions - stable.

The Overall assessment of Conservation Status has changed between 2013 and 2019 because the conclusion for Structure and functions has changed from Unfavourable-bad to Favourable, and the conclusion for Future Prospects has changed from Unfavourable-bad to Unfavourable-Inadequate.

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/marine region)

11.2 Type of estimate

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value 1.2

Best estimate

11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction
11.5 Short-term trend of habitat

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Stable (0)

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

area in good condition within network Method used

11.6 Additional information

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information

Distribution Map



Figure 1: UK distribution map for H2330 - Inland dunes with open *Corynephorus* and *Agrostis* grasslands. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available habitat records which are considered to be representative of the distribution within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article17 UK Approach document.

Range Map



Figure 2: UK range map for H2330 - Inland dunes with open *Corynephorus* and *Agrostis* grasslands. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by applying a bespoke range mapping tool for Article 17 reporting (produced by JNCC) to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this habitat was 25km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.