European Community Directive
on the Conservation of Natural Habitats
and of Wild Fauna and Flora
(92/43/EEC)

Fourth Report by the United Kingdom
under Article 17

on the implementation of the Directive
from January 2013 to December 2018

Conservation status assessment for the habitat:

H3150 - Natural eutrophic lakes with *Magnopotamion* or *Hydrocharition*-type vegetation

UNITED KINGDOM

IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ

- The information in this document represents the UK Report on the conservation status of this habitat, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.

- It is based on supporting information provided by the geographically-relevant Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies, which is documented separately.

- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information contributed to the UK Report and the fields that were completed for each parameter.

- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.

- Maps showing the distribution and range of the habitat are included (where available).

- Explanatory notes (where provided) are included at the end. These provide additional audit trail information to that included within the UK assessments. Further underpinning explanatory notes are available in the related country-level and/or UK offshore-level reports.

- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; and/or (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory.

- The UK-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, https://jncc.gov.uk/article17, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	**UK**
1.2 Habitat code	**3150 - Natural eutrophic lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrocharition - type**

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	1983-2017
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
2.4 Additional maps	No

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	**Atlantic (ATL)**
3.2 Sources of information	England Hughes M, Hornby DD, Bennion H, Kernan, M, Hilton J et al. (2004) The development of a GIS-based inventory of standing waters in Great Britain together with a risk-based prioritisation protocol. Water, Air and Soil Pollution: Focus 4:73-84. Williams, P., Biggs, J., Crowe, A., Murphy, J., Nicolet, P., Weatherby, A., Dunbar M., (2010) Ponds Report from 2007. CS Technical Report No. 7/07 Carvalho, L. and Moss, B. (1998) Lake SSSIs subject to eutrophication: environmental audit. English Nature Freshwater Series No. 3. Peterborough: English Nature. Environment Agency (2016) Water Framework Directive Surface Water Bodies in England: Classification Status and Objectives - Cycle 2, data from 2013 -2016 Natural England CMSi condition data Mainstone C.,& Burn A. (2011) Relationships between ecological objectives and associated decision-making under the Habitats and Water Framework Directives. Discussion paper, Natural England. Burgess, A, Goldsmith, B and Goodrich, S. (2014) Interpretation of Water Framework Directive Macrophyte Data for CSM Condition Assessment. Report to Natural England Phillips, G., Bennion, H., Perrow, M.R., Sayer, C.D., Spears, B.M., Willby, N. (2015) A review of lake restoration practices and their performance in the Broads National Park, 1980-2013. Report for Broads Authority, Norwich and Natural England. Tomlinson, M., Perrow M., Harwood A., Berridge R., (2017) Quantifying fish populations in SSSI lakes phase 2: site-specific reporting of the role of fish in lake management. Report to Natural England. Hall, R. A. (2018) Explanatory notes for the standing water analysis and reporting for Article 17 round 4. Natural England paper. Scotland Previous report SCM Database https://www.sepa.org.uk/data-visualisation/water-classification-hub/ Wales Baxter E, Stewart N. 2015. Macrophyte Survey of Welsh Lakes for Habitats

Directive and Water Framework Directive Monitoring, 2014. NRW Evidence Report No: 52, 78pp, Natural Resources Wales.

Burgess A, Goldsmith B, Hatton-Ellis T. 2006. Site Condition Assessments of Welsh SAC and SSSI Standing Water Features. CCW Contract Science Report 705. Bangor, CCW.

Burgess A, Goldsmith B, Hatton-Ellis T, Hughes M, Shilland E. 2009. CCW Standing Waters SSSI Monitoring 2007-08. CCW Contract Science Report 855. Bangor, Countryside Council for Wales.

Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH). 2018. Glastir Monitoring and Evaluation Programme: Freshwater. Available online at https://gmep.wales/freshwater/

Dines T. (2008) A Vascular Plant Red Data List for Wales. 80pp. Salisbury, Plantlife Wales.

Duigan CA, Reid S, Monteith DT, Bennion H, Seda JM, Hutchinson J. 1999. The past, present and future of Llangorse Lake - a shallow nutrient-rich lake in the Brecon Beacons National Park, Wales, UK. Aquatic Conservation: Marine & Freshwater Ecosystems 9: 329-341.

Goldsmith B, Salgado, J, Shilland, J, Bennion, H, Yang, H & Turner, SD. 2014. Biodiversity Action Plan Lakes Survey 2012-14. NRW Evidence Report No: 27, 171pp. Bangor: Natural Resources Wales.

Goldsmith B, Salgado, Bennion, H. & Goodrich. 2014. Lake Ecological Surveys (Wales) 2013 NRW Evidence Report No: 28.19 pp, Bangor: Natural Resources Wales.

Goldsmith B, Shilland EM, Yang H, Shilland J, Salgado J & Turner SD. 2014. Condition Assessment of Eight Standing Waters in Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs). NRW Evidence Report No: 29, 147pp, Bangor: Natural Resources Wales.

Goldsmith B, Turner S, Shilland E, Goodrich S. 2016. Ecological Surveys of Welsh Lakes 2015. NRW Evidence Report No 145. 25 pp, Bangor: Natural Resources Wales.

Griffith JE. 1895. The flora of Anglesey and Caernarvonshire. Bangor: Nixon & Jarvis.

Hatton-Ellis TW. 2011. Condition Assessment: Llyn Dinam SAC. Feature: 3150 Natural Eutrophic Lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrocharition type vegetation. CCW Monitoring Assessment.

Hatton-Ellis TW. 2014. Lake BAP Priority Areas in Wales - a strategic overview. Cardiff: Wales Biodiversity Partnership. Available online at http://www.biodiversitywales.org.uk/File/340/en-GB

Hatton-Ellis TW. 2016. Evidence Review of Lake Nitrate Vulnerable Zones in Wales. NRW Evidence Report No: 135, 163pp, Natural Resources Wales, Bangor.

Hatton-Ellis TW 2012. Condition Assessment: Llyn Syfaddan / Llangorse Lake SAC. Feature: 3150 Natural Eutrophic Lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrocharition type vegetation. CCW Monitoring Assessment.

Hatton-Ellis TW (in prep b) Condition Assessment: Llyn Coron (Y Twyni o Abermenai i Aberffraw / Abermenai to Aberffraw Dunes SAC). Feature: 3150 Natural Eutrophic Lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrocharition type vegetation. CCW Monitoring Assessment.

Hatton-Ellis TW. 2014. Lake BAP Priority Areas in Wales - a strategic overview. Wales Biodiversity Partnership, Cardiff. Available online at http://www.biodiversitywales.org.uk/File/340/en-GB

Hatton-Ellis TW. 2016. Evidence Review of Lake Nitrate Vulnerable Zones in Wales. NRW Evidence Report No: 135, 163pp, Natural Resources Wales, Bangor.

Interagency Freshwater Group. 2015. Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Freshwater Lakes. JNCC, Peterborough. Available online at

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/0315_CSM_Freshwater_lakes.pdf

Jeppesen E, Sondergaard M, Jensen JP, Havens KE, Anneville O, Carvalho L, Coveney MF, Deneke R, Dokulil M, Foy B, Gerdeaux D, Hampton SE, Hilt S, Kangur K, Kohler J, Lammens EHHR, Lauridsen T L, Manca M, Miracle MR, Moss B, Noges P, Persson G, Phillips G, Portielje R, Romo S, Schelske CL, Straile D, Tatrai I, Willen E, Winder M. 2005. Lake responses to reduced nutrient loading - an analysis of contemporary long-term data from 35 case studies. Freshwater Biology 50:1747-1771,

Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) 2005. Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Standing Waters. Version March 2005. JNCC, Peterborough. 80 pp. ISSN 1743-8160.

Joint Nature Conservation Committee. 2007. Second Report by the UK under Article 17 on the implementation of the Habitats Directive from January 2001 to December 2006. Peterborough: JNCC. Available from: www.jncc.gov.uk/article17

Kelly MG, Juggins S, Bennion H, Burgess A, Yallop ML, Hirst H, King L, Jamieson BJ, Guthrie R, Rippey B. 2008. Use of diatoms for evaluating ecological status in UK freshwaters. SC030103/SR4. Bristol: Environment Agency.

Koslowski G, Vallelian S. 2009. Eutrophication and endangered aquatic plants: an experimental study on Baldellia ranunculoides (L.) Parl. (Alismataceae). Hydrobiologia 635:181-187.

May L, Spears BM, Dudley BJ, Hatton-Ellis TW. 2010. The importance of nitrogen limitation in the restoration of Llangorse Lake, Wales, UK. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 12, 338-346.

May L, Dudley B, Spears BM, Hatton-Ellis TW. 2008. Nutrient Modelling and a Nutrient Budget for Llangorse Lake. , 76 pp. CCW Contract Science Report 831. Bangor, Countryside Council for Wales.

Mooij WM, Janse JH, De Senerpont Domis LN, Hulsmann S, Ibelings BW. 2007. Predicting the effect of climate change on temperate shallow lakes with the ecosystem model PCLake. Hydrobiologia, 584, 443-454.

Mooij WM, Hulsmann S, Domis LND, Nolet BA, Bodelier PLE, Boers PCM, Pires LMD, Gons HJ, Ibelings BW, Noordhuis R, Portielje R, Wolfstein K, Lammens EHRR. 2005. The impact of climate change on lakes in the Netherlands: a review. Aquatic Ecology, 39, 381-400. Moss, B. 1999. Ecological challenges for lake manangement. Hydrobiologia 395/396:3-11.

Moss B, Phillips G, Madgwick J. 1996. A Guide to the Restoration of Nutrient-enriched Shallow Lakes. Norwich: Broads Authority.

Moss B, Stephen D, et al. 2003. The determination of ecological status in shallow lakes - a tested system (ECOFRAME) for implementation of the European Water Framework Directive. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 13:507-549.

Natural Resources Wales. 2013. Habitat: H3150 - Natural eutrophic lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrocharition type vegetation. Available online at http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/Article17Consult_20131010/H3150_WALES.pdf

Shilland EM, Goldsmith B, Hatton-Ellis TW. 2018. Ecological Surveys of Welsh Lakes 2017. NRW Evidence Report No 257. 104pp, Bangor: Natural Resources Wales.

Sondergaard M, Jeppesen E. 2007. Anthropogenic impacts on lake and stream ecosystems, and approaches to restoration. Journal of Applied Ecology 44:1089-1094.

Spears BM, Gunn IDM, Carvalho L, Winfield IJ, Dudley B, Murphy K, May L. 2009. An evaluation of methods for sampling macrophyte maximum colonisation depth in Loch Leven, Scotland. Aquatic Botany 91:75-81.

Whitehead PG, Wilby RL, Battarbee RW, Kernan M, Wade AJ. 2009. A review of

the potential impacts of climate change on surface water quality. Hydrological Sciences Journal 54:101-123.

Willby NJ, Pitt J-A, Phillips G. 2009. The ecological classification of UK lakes using aquatic macrophytes. SC010080/SR. Bristol: Environment Agency.

N.Ireland

JOINT NATURE CONSERVATION COMMITTEE 2005. Common Standards Monitoring (CSM). Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough. www.jncc.gov.uk/page-2217

PALMER, M.A., BELL, S.L. & BUTTERFIELD, I. 1992. A botanical classification of standing waters in Britain: applications for conservation and monitoring. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 2: 125 - 143.

PALMER, M.A. & ROY, D.B. 2001a. A method for estimating the extent of standing fresh waters of different trophic states in Great Britain. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 11, 199-216. http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/abstract/83502064/START

PALMER, M.A. & ROY, D.B. 2001b.

Second Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17 on the implementation of the Directive from January 2001 to December 2006

WILLIAMS, J.M. (ed.) 2006. Common Standards Monitoring for Designated Sites: First Six Year Report. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough. http://www.jncc.gov.uk/page-3520

WOLFE-MURPHY, S.A., LAWRIE, E.W., SMITH, S.J. & GIBSON, C.E. 1992. Northern Ireland Lakes Survey. Unpublished report to Northern Ireland Department of Environment, Belfast.

ENSIS Ltd (Environmental Science Services) Goldsmith, B., Davidson, T. A., Burgess, A., Hughes, M., Madgwick, G., Rawcliffe, R., Rippey, B. & Tyler, J., December 2008 Condition Assessments of Standing Water Features in SACs and ASSIs: Northern Ireland. Final Report to Northern Ireland Environment Agency.

POND CONSERVATION Helen Keeble, Penny Williams, Jeremy Biggs & Neil Reid, 2009, Important Areas for Ponds (IAPs) and other small waterbodies in Northern Ireland, NIEA Research and Development Series 11/5.

Third Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17 on the implementation of the Directive from January 2007 to December 2012. Printed on 06/11/2013 Page 5

JNCC Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Freshwater Habitats and Species, Rivers and Lakes guidance updated September 2016 and March 2015 respectively, ISSN 1743-8160 jnccdefra.gov.uk/page - 2231

Goldsmith, B., Dowman, S., Goodrich, S., Shilland, E. & Shilland, J. (2015) DOE NIEA Standing Fresh Water Monitoring of Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Areas Special Scientific Interest (ASSI) (Second Round). P_12324. ECRC (Environmental Change Research Centre) Research Report 168.

Air Pollution Information System (APIS). Http://.apis.ac.uk

Committee on Climate Change. 2017. UK Climate Change Risk Assessment (CCRAR) Evidence Report, Summary for Northern Ireland. Https://www.theecc.gov.uk

NORTHERN IRELAND ENVIRONMENT AGENCY. Nov 2014. Operational Policy Guidance Note on Alignment of Environmental Standards for Assessment under Habitats Directive and Water Framework Directive. DO1/13/483427

Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs. Status of Rivers and Lakes, River Basin Management Plans. Https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/topocs/water/river-basin-management.

JOINT NATURE CONSERVATION COMMITTEE 2005. Common Standards Monitoring (CSM). Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

www.jncc.gov.uk/page-2217

PALMER, M.A., BELL, S.L. & BUTTERFIELD, I. 1992. A botanical classification of standing waters in Britain: applications for conservation and monitoring. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 2: 125 - 143.

PALMER, M.A. & ROY, D.B. 2001a. A method for estimating the extent of standing fresh waters of different trophic states in Great Britain. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 11, 199-216.

http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/abstract/83502064/START

PALMER, M.A. & ROY, D.B. 2001b.

Second Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17 on the implementation of the Directive from January 2001 to December 2006

WILLIAMS, J.M. (ed.) 2006. Common Standards Monitoring for Designated Sites: First Six Year Report. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

http://www.jncc.gov.uk/page-3520

WOLFE-MURPHY, S.A., LAWRIE, E.W., SMITH, S.J. & GIBSON, C.E. 1992. Northern Ireland Lakes Survey. Unpublished report to Northern Ireland Department of Environment, Belfast. ENSIS Ltd (

ENSIS Ltd (Environmental Science Services) Goldsmith, B., Davidson, T. A., Burgess, A., Hughes, M., Madgwick, G., Rawcliffe, R., Rippey, B. & Tyler, J., December 2008 Condition Assessments of Standing Water Features in SACs and ASSIs: Northern Ireland. Final Report to Northern Ireland Environment Agency.

POND CONSERVATION Helen Keeble, Penny Williams, Jeremy Biggs & Neil Reid, 2009, Important Areas for Ponds (IAPs) and other small waterbodies in Northern Ireland, NIEA Research and Development Series 11/5.

Third Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17 on the implementation of the Directive from January 2007 to December 2012. Printed on 06/11/2013 Page 5

JNCC Common Standards Monitoring Guidence for Freshwater Habitats and Species, Rivers and Lakes guidance updated September 2016 and March 2015 respectively, ISSN 1743-8160 jnccdefra.gov.uk/page - 2231

Goldsmith, B., Dowman, S., Goodrich, S., Shilland, E. & Shilland, J. (2015) DOE NIEA Standing Fresh Water Monitoring of Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Areas Special Scientific Interest (ASSI) (Second Round). P_12324. ECRC (Environmental Change Research Centre) Research Report 168.

Air Pollution Information System (APIS). Http://.apis.ac.uk

Committee on Climate Change. 2017. UK Climate Change Risk Assessment (CCRAR) Evidence Report, Summary for Northern Ireland. Https://www.theecc.gov.uk

NORTHERN IRELAND ENVIRONMENT AGENCY. Nov 2014. Operational Policy Guidance Note on Alignment of Environmental Standards for Assessment under Habotats Dreestive and Water Framework Directive. DO1/13/483427

Northern Ireland Environment Statistics Report. March 2016. Issue No 8 Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs. Status of Rivers and Lakes, River Basin Managemant Plans. Https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/topocs/water/river-basin-management.

4. Range

4.1 Surface area (in km²)	146244.5
4.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
4.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
4.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

4.6 Long-term trend Period			
4.7 Long-term trend Direction			
4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	
4.9 Long-term trend Method used			
4.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)	146244.5	
	b) Operator		
	c) Unknown	No	
	d) Method	The FRR is approximately equal to the current range area. The FRR value has been updated to take account of improved information on the habitat range. The approach taken to set the FRR is explained in the 2007 and 2013 UK Article 17 habitat reports (see http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-4064 and http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-6563).	
4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	Improved knowledge/more accurate data		
	The change is mainly due to:	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	
4.12 Additional information			

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	1983-2017		
5.2 Surface area (in km²)	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Best single value 369.95
5.3 Type of estimate	Minimum		
5.4 Surface area Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate		
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018		
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)		
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data		
5.9 Long-term trend Period			
5.10 Long-term trend Direction			
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.12 Long-term trend Method used			
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km²)		
	b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)	
	c) Unknown	No	
	d) Method	The FRA is approximately equal to the current area. The approach taken to set the FRA is explained in the 2007 and 2013 UK Article 17 habitat reports (see http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-4064 and http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-6563).	
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	Improved knowledge/more accurate data		
	The change is mainly due to:	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	
5.15 Additional information			

6. Structure and functions

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km²)	Minimum	6.2	Maximum	
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum	234.01	Maximum	
	c) Area where condition is not known (km²)	Minimum	129.74	Maximum	

6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	2007-2018
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Decreasing (-)
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period? No
6.7 Typical species Method used	
6.8 Additional information	There is insufficient information to report on the maximum area of habitat in unfavourable (not good) condition. Nevertheless, based on the area that has been assessed, 97% of the habitat is in unfavourable condition.

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	M
Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25)	M
Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26)	M
Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	M
Management of fishing stocks and game (G08)	M
Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01)	M
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	M
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	M

Threat	Ranking
Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	M
Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25)	M
Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26)	M
Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07)	M
Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	M

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Management of fishing stocks and game (G08)	M
Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01)	M
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	M
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	M

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed?	Yes
	b) Indicate the status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Restore the habitat of the species (related to 'Habitat for the species')	
8.3 Location of the measures taken	Both inside and outside Natura 2000	
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)	

8.5 List of main conservation measures

Reduce/eliminate point pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities (CA10)
Reduce diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities (CA11)
Manage water abstraction for resource extraction and energy production (CC13)
Reduce/eliminate point source pollution to surface or ground waters from industrial, commercial, residential and recreational areas and activities (CF04)
Reducing the impact of (re-) stocking for fishing and hunting, of artificial feeding and predator control (CG03)
Management, control or eradication of established invasive alien species of Union concern (CI02)
Management, control or eradication of other invasive alien species (CI03)
Reduce impact of mixed source pollution (CJ01)
Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ02)
Implement climate change adaptation measures (CN02)

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Good
	b) Area	Good
	c) Structure and functions	Bad
9.2 Additional information	Future trend of Range is Overall stable; Future trend of Area is Overall stable; and Future trend of Structure and functions is Overall stable	

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
10.2. Area	Favourable (FV)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)	Unfavourable - Bad (U2)
10.4. Future prospects	Unfavourable - Bad (U2)
10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unfavourable - Bad (U2)
10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Deteriorating (-)
10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	a) Overall assessment of conservation status
	No change
	The change is mainly due to:
	b) Overall trend in conservation status
	Genuine change Use of different method
	The change is mainly due to: Genuine change
10.8 Additional information	Conclusion on Range reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Range surface area is stable; and (ii) the current Range surface area is approximately equal to the Favourable Reference Range. Conclusion on Area covered by habitat reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Area is stable; and (ii) the current Area is approximately equal to the Favourable Reference Area. Conclusion on Structure and functions reached because habitat condition data indicates that more than 25% of the habitat is in unfavourable (not good) condition. Conclusion on Future prospects reached because: (i) the Future prospects for Range are good; (ii) the Future prospects for Area covered by habitat are good; and (iii) the Future prospects for Structure and functions are bad. Overall assessment of Conservation Status is Unfavourable-bad because one or more of the conclusions is Unfavourable-bad. Overall trend in Conservation Status is based on the combination of the short-term trends for Range - stable, Area covered by habitat - stable, and Structure and functions - decreasing. The Overall trend in Conservation Status has changed between 2013 and 2019 because the Structure and functions trend has changed from increasing to decreasing, and because of the removal of the Future prospects trend from the 2019 method used to assess Overall trend.

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/ marine region)	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value 55.13
11.2 Type of estimate	Minimum
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction	Decreasing (-)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

| 11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate |
| 11.6 Additional information | |

12. Complementary information

| 12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends | |
| 12.2 Other relevant information | |

Distribution Map

Figure 1: UK distribution map for H3150 - Natural eutrophic lakes with *Magnopotamion* or *Hydrocharition*-type vegetation. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available habitat records which are considered to be representative of the distribution within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article17 UK Approach document.

Range Map

Figure 2: UK range map for H3150 - Natural eutrophic lakes with *Magnopotamion* or *Hydrocharition*-type vegetation. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by applying a bespoke range mapping tool for Article 17 reporting (produced by JNCC) to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this habitat was 25km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.