

**European Community Directive  
on the Conservation of Natural Habitats  
and of Wild Fauna and Flora  
(92/43/EEC)**

**Fourth Report by the United Kingdom  
under Article 17**

on the implementation of the Directive  
from January 2013 to December 2018

Conservation status assessment for the habitat:

**H3170 - Mediterranean temporary ponds**

**UNITED KINGDOM**

## **IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ**

- The information in this document represents the UK Report on the conservation status of this habitat, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.
- It is based on supporting information provided by the geographically-relevant Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies, which is documented separately.
- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information contributed to the UK Report and the fields that were completed for each parameter.
- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.
- Maps showing the distribution and range of the habitat are included (where available).
- Explanatory notes (where provided) are included at the end. These provide additional audit trail information to that included within the UK assessments. Further underpinning explanatory notes are available in the related country-level and/or UK offshore-level reports.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; and/or (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory.
- The UK-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, <https://jncc.gov.uk/article17>, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

## Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## NATIONAL LEVEL

## 1. General information

1.1 Member State	UK
1.2 Habitat code	3170 - Mediterranean temporary ponds

## 2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	2001-2007
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.4 Additional maps	No

## BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

### 3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	<b>Atlantic (ATL)</b>
3.2 Sources of information	<p>England</p> <p>Wheeler, B.R. &amp; Byfield, A. 2005. The Lizard Trackways Project 2002-2005. Environmental Records Centre for Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly, English Nature and Plantlife.</p> <p>Natural England CMSi condition data</p>

#### 4. Range

4.1 Surface area (in km <sup>2</sup> )	195.68
4.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
4.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum                                  b) Maximum
4.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
4.6 Long-term trend Period	
4.7 Long-term trend Direction	
4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum                                  b) Maximum
4.9 Long-term trend Method used	
4.10 Favourable reference range	<p>a) Area (km<sup>2</sup>)                      195.68</p> <p>b) Operator</p> <p>c) Unknown                      No</p> <p>d) Method                      The FRR is approximately equal to the current range area. The approach taken to set the FRR is explained in the 2007 and 2013 UK Article 17 habitat reports (see <a href="http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-4064">http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-4064</a> and <a href="http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-6563">http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-6563</a>).</p>
4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due to:
4.12 Additional information	

## 5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	2001-2007
--------------------	-----------

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

5.2 Surface area (in km <sup>2</sup> )	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Best single value	0.1
5.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate			
5.4 Surface area Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data			
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018			
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)			
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval	
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data			
5.9 Long-term trend Period				
5.10 Long-term trend Direction				
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval	
5.12 Long-term trend Method used				
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Approximately equal to (≈)		
	b) Operator	No		
	c) Unknown	No		
	d) Method	The FRA has been changed to approximately equal to the current area, because the area occupied by the habitat appears to have been relatively stable since 1989 and there is still a significant network of tracks which the habitat occurs on. An FRA operator has been used as it is not clear what the exact area of the FRA is.		
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change			
	The change is mainly due to:			
5.15 Additional information				

## 6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km <sup>2</sup> )	Minimum	0.1	Maximum	0.1
	b) Area in not-good condition (km <sup>2</sup> )	Minimum	0	Maximum	0
	c) Area where condition is not known (km <sup>2</sup> )	Minimum	0	Maximum	0
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data				
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	2007-2018				
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Increasing (+)				
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data				
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period?				No
6.7 Typical species Method used					
6.8 Additional information					

## 7. Main pressures and threats

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## 7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
No pressures (Xxp)	
Threat	Ranking
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	H
Mixed source air pollution, air-borne pollutants (J03)	M
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	M

## 7.2 Sources of information

## 7.3 Additional information

## 8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed? Yes
	b) Indicate the status of measures Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species
8.3 Location of the measures taken	Only inside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Short-term results (within the current reporting period, 2013-2018)
8.5 List of main conservation measures	

Restore small landscape features on agricultural land (CA02)

Maintain existing extensive agricultural practices and agricultural landscape features (CA03)

Reduce impact of mixed source pollution (CJ01)

Implement climate change adaptation measures (CN02)

## 8.6 Additional information

## 9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range Good
	b) Area Good
	c) Structure and functions Good
9.2 Additional information	Future trend of Range is Overall stable; Future trend of Area is Positive - increasing $\leq 1\%$ (one percent or less) per year on average; and Future trend of Structure and functions is Overall stable

## 10. Conclusions

10.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
10.2. Area	Favourable (FV)
10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)	Favourable (FV)
10.4. Future prospects	Favourable (FV)

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Improving (+)
10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	<p>a) Overall assessment of conservation status</p> <p>Genuine change</p> <p>The change is mainly due to:      Genuine change</p> <p>b) Overall trend in conservation status</p> <p>No change</p> <p>The change is mainly due to:</p>
10.8 Additional information	<p>Conclusion on Range reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Range surface area is stable; and (ii) the current Range surface area is approximately equal to the Favourable Reference Range.</p> <p>Conclusion on Area covered by habitat reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Area is stable; and (ii) the current Area is approximately equal to the Favourable Reference Area.</p> <p>Conclusion on Structure and functions reached because habitat condition data indicates that less than c.5% of the habitat is in unfavourable (not good) condition.</p> <p>Conclusion on Future prospects reached because: (i) the Future prospects for Range are good; (ii) the Future prospects for Area covered by habitat are good; and (iii) the Future prospects for Structure and functions are good.</p> <p>Overall assessment of Conservation Status is Favourable because all of the conclusions are Favourable.</p> <p>Overall trend in Conservation Status is based on the combination of the short-term trends for Range - stable, Area covered by habitat - stable, and Structure and functions - increasing.</p> <p>The Overall assessment of Conservation Status has changed between 2013 and 2019 because the conclusion for Structure and functions has changed from Unfavourable-inadequate to Favourable.</p>

## 11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km <sup>2</sup> in biogeographical/marine region)	<p>a) Minimum</p> <p>b) Maximum</p> <p>c) Best single value      0.1</p>
11.2 Type of estimate	Best estimate
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction	Stable (0)
11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
11.6 Additional information	

## 12. Complementary information

# **Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)**

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information

## Distribution Map



Figure 1: UK distribution map for H3170 - Mediterranean temporary ponds. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available habitat records which are considered to be representative of the distribution within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article17 UK Approach document.



## Range Map



Figure 2: UK range map for H3170 - Mediterranean temporary ponds. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by applying a bespoke range mapping tool for Article 17 reporting (produced by JNCC) to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this habitat was 25km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.