# European Community Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC)

# Fourth Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17

on the implementation of the Directive from January 2013 to December 2018

Supporting documentation for the conservation status assessment for the habitat:

H8220 - Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation

NORTHERN IRELAND

#### **IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ**

- The information in this document is a country-level contribution to the UK Report on the conservation status of this habitat, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.
- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information was used to produce the UK Report.
- The UK Report on the conservation status of this habitat is provided in a separate document.
- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.
- Explanatory notes (where provided) by the country are included at the end. These provide an audit trail of relevant supporting information.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; and/or (iii) the field was only relevant at UK-level (sections 10 Future prospects and 11 Conclusions).
- For technical reasons, the country-level future trends for Range, Area covered by habitat and Structure and functions are only available in a separate spreadsheet that contains all the country-level supporting information.
- The country-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, https://jncc.gov.uk/article17, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

#### **NATIONAL LEVEL**

#### 1. General information

1.1 Member State	UK (Northern Ireland information only)
1.2 Habitat code	8220 - Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation

#### 2. Maps

2.4 Additional maps

2.1 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

#### **BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL**

#### 3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs

3.2 Sources of information

#### Atlantic (ATL)

No

Cooper, A. & McCann, T. (2001). The Northern Ireland Countryside Survey 2000. Environment and Heritage Service, Belfast

Cooper, A., McCann, T. and Rogers, D. (2009) Northern Ireland Countryside Survey 2007: Broad Habitat Change 1998-2007. Northern Ireland Environment Agency. Northern Ireland Environment Agency Research and Development Series No. 09/06. Belfast. 58 pp.

McCann, T., Rogers, D. and Cooper, A. (2009) Northern Ireland Countryside Survey 2007: Field methods and technical manual. Northern Ireland Environment Agency. Northern Ireland Environment Agency, Research and Development Series No 09/07. Belfast.

Murray, R., McCann, T. and Cooper, A. (1992). A Land Classification and Landscape Ecological Study of Northern Ireland. Department of the Environment NI and Department of Environmental Studies, University of Ulster, Coleraine. Rodwell, J.S. (1992). British Plant Communities. Volume 3, Grasslands and Montane Communities. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press NIEA. Internal Condition Assessment Reports (various sites and years). NIEA. Internal Survey Reports (various sites and years). Rodwell, J.S., Dring, J.C., Averis, A.B.V., Proctor, M.C.F., Malloch, A.J.C.,

Schaminee, J.H.J & Dargie, T.C.D. 1998. Review of Coverage of the National Vegetation Classification. Lancaster: Unit of Vegetation Science report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Data on aerial Nitrogen deposition taken from Air Pollution Information System website - http://www.apis.ac.uk/

## 4. Range

- 4.1 Surface area (in km<sup>2</sup>)
- 4.2 Short-term trend Period
- 4.3 Short-term trend Direction
- 4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude
- 4.5 Short-term trend Method used
- 4.6 Long-term trend Period
- 4.7 Long-term trend Direction
- 4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude
- Stable (0)
- a) Minimum

b) Maximum

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

Annex I habitat types (A	Annex D)		
4.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²) b) Operator c) Unknown No d) Method		
4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change The change is mainly due	to:	
4.12 Additional information			
5. Area covered by habitat			
5.1 Year or period	2013-2018		
5.2 Surface area (in km²)	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Best single 1 value
5.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate		
<ul><li>5.4 Surface area Method used</li><li>5.5 Short-term trend Period</li><li>5.6 Short-term trend Direction</li></ul>	Based mainly on extrapol 2007-2018 Stable (0)	lation from a limited amo	ount of data
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence
<ul> <li>5.8 Short-term trend Method used</li> <li>5.9 Long-term trend Period</li> <li>5.10 Long-term trend Direction</li> <li>5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude</li> <li>5.12 Long-term trend Method used</li> </ul>	Based mainly on extrapol 1994-2018 Stable (0) a) Minimum	lation from a limited amo	interval ount of data  c) Confidence interval
5.13 Favourable reference area	<ul> <li>a) Area (km²)</li> <li>b) Operator</li> <li>c) Unknown No</li> <li>d) Method</li> </ul>		
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	No change	***	
	The change is mainly due	to:	
5.15 Additional information			
6. Structure and functions			
6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km²)	Minimum 0.675	Maximum 0.675
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum 0	Maximum <b>0</b>
	c) Area where condition i not known (km²)	s Minimum 0.325	Maximum 0.325

6.1 Condition of habitat	<ul> <li>a) Area in good condition (km²)</li> </ul>	Minimum 0.675	Maximum 0.675
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum 0	Maximum 0
	c) Area where condition is not known (km²)	Minimum 0.325	Maximum 0.325
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Based mainly on extrapolat	ion from a limited amour	nt of data
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	2007-2018		
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)		

6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used6.6 Typical species

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

6.7 Typical species Method used

Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous  $N_0$  reporting period?

6.8 Additional information

#### 7. Main pressures and threats

Ranking
Н
M
M
M
M
M
M
Ranking
Н
M
M
М
М
M

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

#### 8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed?	Yes
	b) Indicate the status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Maintain the current range, populati	ion and/or habitat for the species
8.3 Location of the measures taken	Both inside and outside Natura 2000	
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the nex	kt two reporting periods, 2019-2030)
8.5 List of main conservation measures		

Reduce/eliminate air pollution from agricultural activities (CA12)

Adapt mowing, grazing and other equivalent agricultural activities (CA05)

Implement climate change adaptation measures (CN02)

Reinstate appropriate agricultural practices to address abandonment, including mowing, grazing, burning or equivalent measures (CA04)

Management, control or eradication of other invasive alien species (CIO3)

8.6 Additional information

#### 9. Future prospects

- 9.1 Future prospects of parameters
- a) Range
- b) Area
- c) Structure and functions

9.2 Additional information

#### 10. Conclusions

10.1. Range

10.2. Area

10.3. Specific structure and functions

(incl. typical species)

10.4. Future prospects

10.5 Overall assessment of

**Conservation Status** 

10.6 Overall trend in Conservation

Status

10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and

conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

#### No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

#### No change

The change is mainly due to:

10.8 Additional information

## 11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/marine region)

11.2 Type of estimate

11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used

11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Best single value 0.675

Best estimate

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Stable (0)

11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used

11.6 Additional information

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

#### 12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information

## **Distribution Map**




Figure 1: UK distribution map for H8220 - Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available habitat records which are considered to be representative of the distribution within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article17 UK Approach document.

## Range Map




Figure 2: UK range map for H8220 - Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by applying a bespoke range mapping tool for Article 17 reporting (produced by JNCC) to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this habitat was 25km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

## **Explanatory Notes**

#### Habitat code: 8220

#### Field label

#### Note

#### 2.2 Distribution map

The habitat is generally found in association with H8110 Siliceous screes. It is a widespread habitat in the UK. As a habitat, Siliceous rocky slopes is poorly covered by the NVC, although some forms can be referred to U21 Cryptogramma crispa -Deschampsia flexuosa community. The habitat comprises mixtures of bryophytes, such as Ampidium mougeotii and Racomitrium spp, and vascular plants such as Wavy hairgrass Deschamsia flexuosa and fir clubmoss Huperzia selago. High altitude examples in northern Scotland are particularly important for a range of rare species. In western localities in the UK, the habitat may be enriched by oceanic species, such as Wilson's filmy fern Hymenophyllum wilsonii, Sea Spleenwort Asplenium marinum and Atlantic bryophytes. Cliffs on acidic rocks are widely scattered across NI, so this is a fairly widespread habitat here, occurring in upland areas on acidic rocks (the granites of the Mourne Mountains, the metamorphic rocks of the Sperrin Mountains and the sandstones of Co Fermanagh). However, in terms of the quality of the habitat, like H8110, it tends to be more species-poor than GB examples, which often have more extensive examples of the habitat, and a range of arctic-alpine species that are either absent from NI or where present, occur in isolated localities, rather than forming distinctive communities. Two sites have been declared for the Annex 1 feature in NI -Eastern Mournes and Cuilcagh Mountain SACs. In addition, there are at least 3 ASSIs which contain the feature - Big Dog Scarps and Lakes, Lough Navar Scarps and Lakes, and the Western Mournes.

#### 2.3 Distribution map; Method used

Information on the distribution of the habitat comes from surveys undertaken by NIEA, either in-house or through contract. During the reporting period, NIEA staff have visited SACs and ASSIs known to contain the habitat (e.g. Eastern Mournes and Cuilcagh Mountain SACs; Big Dog Scarps and Lakes, Lough Navar Scarps and Lakes and Western Mournes ASSIs). Coverage of the habitat in the wider countryside is more patchy, as the habitat often occurs in small stands on suitable rocks in the uplands.

	Habitat code: 8220 Region cod	labitat code: 8220 Region code: ATL	
	Field label	Note	
	4.1 Surface area	The current range of the habitat is naturally limited by environmental factors, in particular slope/landform and geology. Although data on distribution is limited, no loss in range has been recorded in the habitat on SACs or ASSIs since the condition assessment programme was introduced in 2002. In addition, the habitat is a robust one that is difficult to damage or destroy.	
	4.5 Short term trend; Method used	Although there is a scarcity of data on the habitat, expert opinion would suggest that the range is unlikely to have declined over the short term. No evidence of loss has been noted at SACs and ASSIs that contain the feature, and the habitat is a robust one that is difficult to destroy. Hence judgement is stable for short term range.	
	5.2 Surface area	The surface area of this habitat has been estimated very approximately at 100 ha. This is in the absence of any definitive data from outside the SAC and ASSI series.	
	5.4 Surface area; Method used	The habitat is regularly monitored on SACs and ASSIs, but there is virtually no data from outside the protected sites network. Hence reported as Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
	5.6 Short term trend; Direction	Regular monitoring of protected sites has not noted any decline in extent of siliceous rocky slopes, and the habitat is very difficult to destroy or remove. Hence reported as Stable, but Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data.	

5.10 Long term trend; Direction	The overall extent of the habitat is not known. However, regular monitoring of protected sites has not noted any decline in extent of siliceous rocky slopes and the habitat is a robust one that is not easily destroyed or removed. Hence reported as Stable.
6.1 Condition of habitat	Results from SACs and ASSIs have shown the habitat to be in Favourable condition. In the wider countryside, the condition of the habitat is largely unknown.
6.2 Condition of habitat; Method used	Data based upon condition assessment of Eastern Mournes and Cuilcagh Mountain SACs which has been designated for the habitat, in addition to known occurrences of the habitat on ASSIs (e.g. Lough Navar Scarps and Lakes; Big Dog Scarps and Lakes). There are an unknown number of locations in the wider countryside. Hence Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
7.1 Characterisation of pressures/ threats	Grazing - most of the habitat is out of the reach of grazing animals, occurring on inaccessible rock ledges. However, more accessible stands may be impacted by grazing, with overgrazing potentially reducing the floristic diversity of some chasms and gullies. On the other hand, lack of grazing on these more accessible stands could result in encroachment by scrub and woodland. Recreational activities such as rock climbing may cause localised damage to vegetation, and could increase in the future. Invasive species - a number of species are, or could be invasive - for example non-native Cotoneaster. Uncontrolled burning in nearby habitats (e.g. dry heath) could spread to Siliceous rocky slopes, leading to damage of the skeletal soils in crevices, and poor opportunities for regeneration. Air pollution - based on an assessment of the exceedance of relevant critical loads, air pollution is considered to be a potentially significant threat to the future condition of this habitat - critical loads are exceeded at Eastern Mournes ( Critical load 5-15kg/N/ha/yr, with a predicted average of 21.8 kg/N/ha/yr) and Cuilcagh Mountain SACs (with a predicted average of 10.9 kg/N/ha/yr) and are predicted to remain above the lower limit in the future. Climate change - is considered to be a potential threat to the future condition of this habitat, especially in the long term. However, there is a high degree of uncertainty in defining future climate threats on habitats.
7.2 Sources of information	Threats and pressures assessed from the most recent Common Standards Monitoring of siliceous rocky slopes at protected sites (SACs and ASSIs), and expert judgement to assess pressures in the wider countryside. Threats based upon current pressures and expert judgement on future trends, particularly using information from the APIS website.
8.1 Status of measures	Recent monitoring of siliceous rocky slopes at Cuilcagh Mountain and Eastern Mournes SACs shows that the habitat here is in favourable condition. ASSIs with the feature are also favourable. However, the habitat has not been well surveyed across NI, and it is possible that measures may be required across other sites where the habitat occurs. Management plans for both SACs are being prepared, and the Environmental Farming Scheme (EFS) should assist in ensuring favourable management for the habitat both within protected sites and the wider countryside. In addition, the Department is developing a road map to reduce atmospheric Nitrogen from agricultural sources, which may be a factor at some sites where the habitat occurs.
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Measures aimed at reducing damaging impacts from current pressures - such as lack of management - and future threats. Hence this is reported as Maintain the structure and functions, including the status of typical species (related to 'Specific structure and functions').

8.3 Location of the measures taken	Measures are required both inside and outside SACs. Both Interreg and Rural Development Plan (RDP) funds are being used to develop Conservation Management Plans and to potentially implement management measures which will benefit the habitat at SACs which may contain the habitat. Several areas of the habitat across NI - both within designated sites and outside - may be entered into the Environment Farming Scheme (EFS), which aims to implement sympathetic management that should improve the condition of the habitat.
10.1 Range	There is no evidence of any changes in range for Siliceous rocky slopes since 1988, nor any previous historical data on extent or changes. Although data on distribution of the habitat outside of the protected sites network is limited, no loss in range has been recorded in the habitat on SACs or ASSIs since the condition assessment programme was introduced in 2002. In addition, the current range of the habitat is naturally limited by environmental factors, particularly slope/landform and rock type. Range assessment for H8220 is therefore Favourable.
10.2 Area	There has been no evidence of loss of extent of Siliceous rocky slopes from any of the protected sites at which it occurs. Indeed, the habitat is a robust one and it is difficult to envisage how it could be reduced in extent. Therefore, despite lack of knowledge of the full extent and distribution of the resource across NI, expert opinion is that the extent of the habitat has remained stable at the NI level since 1994. Hence, extent assessed as Favourable.
10.3 Specific structure and functions	CSM data for the SACs and ASSIs that contain the habitat show that the habitat here is in favourable condition. However, there is no accurate estimate of the total area of the habitat in NI, so it is doubtful if these data can be judged to be representative of the overall NI resource. This suggests a judgement of Unknown for the structure and function parameter for H8220.
10.4 Future prospects	Given the poor information on the extent and condition of the habitat across NI, and the uncertain future impacts of air pollution and climate change, future prospects are predicted as Unknown.
10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Range and extent have been assessed as Favourable. Structure and function is Unknown. Future prospects are Unknown with climate change impacts currently unpredictable and atmospheric Nitrogen deposition still a threat. Hence an overall Unknown assessment.
11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network	The habitat is represented at 2 SACs in NI - Cuilcagh Mountain and Eastern Mournes. Extent at these sites estimated at 67.5 ha.
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network; Method used	The habitat has been mapped at both SACs. Hence reported as Complete survey.
11.4 Short term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network; Direction	Assessment of stable based upon recent condition assessment data for the habitat on the SACs, which has not changed since the previous assessments. Again, it should be noted that the Condition Assessment methodology is generally not sensitive in detecting the impacts of atmospheric Nitrogen deposition on the habitat.
11.5 Short term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network; Method used	Assessment based upon recent condition assessment data from Cuilcagh Mountain and Eastern Mournes SACs. It should be noted, however, that the Condition Assessment methodology is generally not sensitive in detecting the impacts of atmospheric Nitrogen deposition.