

**European Community Directive
on the Conservation of Natural Habitats
and of Wild Fauna and Flora
(92/43/EEC)**

**Fourth Report by the United Kingdom
under Article 17**

on the implementation of the Directive
from January 2013 to December 2018

Supporting documentation for the
conservation status assessment for the species:

S1096 - Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*)

ENGLAND

IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ

- The information in this document is a country-level contribution to the UK Report on the conservation status of this species, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.
- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information was used to produce the UK Report.
- The UK Report on the conservation status of this species is provided in a separate document.
- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.
- Explanatory notes (where provided) by the country are included at the end. These provide an audit trail of relevant supporting information.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; (iii) the field was not relevant to this species (section 12 Natura 2000 coverage for Annex II species) and/or (iv) the field was only relevant at UK-level (sections 9 Future prospects and 10 Conclusions).
- For technical reasons, the country-level future trends for Range, Population and Habitat for the species are only available in a separate spreadsheet that contains all the country-level supporting information.
- The country-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, <https://jncc.gov.uk/article17>, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	UK (England information only)
1.2 Species code	1096
1.3 Species scientific name	<i>Lampetra planeri</i>
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name (in national language)	Brook lamprey

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No																
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	<table> <tr> <td>a) regulations regarding access to property</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>h) other measures</td><td>No</td></tr> </table>	a) regulations regarding access to property	No	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No	h) other measures	No
a) regulations regarding access to property	No																
b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No																
c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No																
d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No																
e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No																
f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No																
g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No																
h) other measures	No																

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

Atlantic (ATL)

4.2 Sources of information

Addy, S., Cooksley, S., Dodd, N., Waylen, K., Stockan, J., Byg, A. & Holstead, K. 2016. River restoration and biodiversity: Nature based solutions for restoring rivers in the UK and Republic of Ireland. CREW ref. CRW2014/10

APEM 2005. Review of information on lamprey populations in the Humber basin. Report to the Environment Agency.

APEM 2014. A habitat survey for spawning ground and nursery areas for Annex II fish species within the Severn Estuary river catchment. APEM Scientific Report 413588. Natural England, January 2015, 45pp.

Bracken, F.S.A. & Lucas, M.C. 2013. Potential impacts of small-scale hydroelectric power generation on downstream moving lamprey. River research and applications. 29. 1073-1081.

Bracken, F.S.A., Hoelzel, A.R., Hume, J.B. & Lucas, M.C. 2015. Contrasting population genetic structure among freshwater-resident and anadromous lampreys: the role of demographic history, differential dispersal and anthropogenic barriers to movement.

Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Freshwater Fauna 2015

Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Rivers 2014

Davies, C., Shelley, J., Harding, P., McLean, I., Gardiner, Ross & Peirson, G. 2004. Freshwater Fishes in Britain. The species and their distribution. Harley Books.

Environment Agency, 2013. Review of fish population data in the Humber Estuary.

Environment Agency, 2012. Summary of outcomes of the Review of Consents on water-related SACs. Excel spreadsheet.

Foulds, L.W. 2013. Anthropogenic factors affecting European river lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis* in the Humber River basin, north-east England. PhD. Thesis,

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Durham University.

Foulds, L.W. & Lucas, M.C. 2013. Extreme inefficiency of two conventional technical fishways used by European river lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*). *Ecological Engineering*, 58, 423-433.

Foulds, W.L. & Lucas, M.C. 2014. Paradoxical exploitation of protected fishes as bait for anglers: Evaluating the lamprey bait market in Europe and developing sustainable and ethical solutions. *PLOS ONE*, Vol. 9, Issue 6, e99617.

Findlay, J.D.S. 2013. Impacts of signal crayfish on stream fishes. Durham theses, Durham University.

Findlay, J.D.S., Riley, W.D. & M.C. Lucas. 2014. Signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) predation upon Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) eggs. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. 25. 250-258.

Guan, R-Z. & Wiles, P.R. 1996. Ecological Impact of Introduced Crayfish on Benthic Fishes in a British Lowland River. *Conservation Biology*, 11

Greaves, R.K., Bubb, D.H. & Lucas, M.C. 2007. Adult river lamprey occurrence and migration in the River Trent in relation to barriers and environmental conditions 2006-2007. Final report. University of Durham.

Harvey, J. & Cowx I. 2003. Monitoring the River, Brook and Sea Lamprey, *Lampetra fluviatilis*, *L. planeri* and *Petromyzon marinus*. *Conserving Natura 2000 Rivers Monitoring Series No. 5*, English Nature.

Hatton-Ellis, T. 2018. Procedure for Estimating Population (including Favourable Reference Population) using 1km Square Resolution Records Data. Interagency freshwater group. (Unpublished).

Holdich, D.M., James, J., Jackson, C. & Peay, S. 2014. The North American signal crayfish, with particular reference to its success as an invasive species in Great Britain. *Ethology, Ecology & Evolution*, 26, 232-262.

Jacklin, T.E. 2006. The status of lamprey species in the River Trent with particular regard to the Humber Estuary SAC. Environment Agency.

Langford, T.E., Worthington, T., Shaw, P., Kemp, P., Woolgar, C., Fergusson, A., Harding, P & Ottewell, D. 2012. The unnatural history of the River Trent: 50 years of ecological recovery. *River Conservation and Management*. Boon, J.P. & Raven, P.J. (Eds.). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Lucas, M. & Bracken, F. 2010. Potential impacts of hydroelectric power generation on downstream-moving lampreys at Howsham, Yorkshire Derwent. Durham University. (Unpublished).

Mainstone, C.P., Dils, R.M. and Withers, P.J.A. 2008. Controlling sediment and phosphorus transfer to receiving waters - A strategic management perspective for England and Wales. *Journal of Hydrology*, 350, 131-143.

Mainstone, C.P. and Holmes, N.T. 2010. Embedding a strategic approach to river restoration in operational management processes - experiences in England. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI: 10.1002/aqc.1095

Mainstone, C., Hall, R. & Diak, I. 2016. A narrative for conserving freshwater and wetland habitats in England. *Natural England Research Reports*, Number 064.

Mainstone C.P. 2008. The role of specially designated wildlife sites in freshwater conservation - an English perspective. *Freshwater Reviews*, 1, 89-98.

Mainstone, C. & Burn, A. 2011. Relationships between ecological objectives and associated decision-making under the Habitats and Water Framework Directives. Discussion paper, Natural England.

Mainstone, C.P. & Wheeldon, J. 2016. The physical restoration of English rivers with special designations for wildlife: from concepts to strategic planning and implementation. *Freshwater Reviews*. 8. Pg. 1 - 25.

Mainstone, C.P. 2016. Developing a coherent narrative for conserving freshwater

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

and wetland habitats: experiences in the UK. WIRES Water, published Online: Nov 07 2016. DOI: 10.1002/wat2.1189.

Mainstone, C.P. 2018. Article 17 Habitats Pro-forma England H3260 for UK aggregation. Natural England

Mainstone, C.P. 2018. Analysis of Water Framework Directive data for use in Habitats Directive Article 17 reporting on Annex I river habitat (H3260) in England. Supplementary paper for the submission package to Europe, Natural England.

Maitland, P.S. 1980. Review of the ecology of lampreys in northern Europe. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 37, 1944-1952.

Maitland, P.S. 2003. Ecology of the River, Brook and Sea Lamprey. Conserving Natura 2000 Rivers, Ecology Series No. 5. English Nature, Peterborough

Maria, I., Bernardo, J.M. & Fernandes, S. 2007. Predation of invasive crayfish on aquatic vertebrates: the effect of *Procambarus clarkii* on fish assemblages in Mediterranean temporary streams. Biological Invaders in Inland Waters. Gherardi, F. (ed.)

Natural England. 2015. River restoration theme plan. Output from the EU Life project 'Improvement Programme for England's Natura 2000 Sites' (IPENS). Natural England Report number IPENSTP023.

Nunn, A.D., Harvey, J.P., Noble, R.A.A. & Cowx, I.G. 2008. Condition assessment of lamprey populations in the Yorkshire Ouse catchment, north-east England, and the potential influence of physical migration barriers. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 18: 175-189.

Nunn, A.D., Harvey, J.P., Noble, R.A.A. & Cowx, I.G. 2015. A desk-based study to consolidate existing data and literature to help inform the conservation status of Annex II features (migratory fish species) within the Severn Estuary river catchment. Natural England, IPENS programme LIFE11NAT/UK/000384IPENS.

Peay, S., Guthrie, N., Spees, J., Nilsson, E. & Bradley, P. 2009. The impact of signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) on the recruitment of salmonid fish in a headwater stream in Yorkshire, England. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems.

Reynolds, J.D. 2011. A review of ecological interactions between crayfish and fish, indigenous and introduced. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems. 401, 10

Russon, I.G., Kemp, P.S. & Lucas, M.C. 2011. Guaging weirs impede the upstream migration of adult river lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis*. Fisheries Management and Ecology. 18. 201-2010.

Tweed Estuary SAC / River Tweed SAC. Assessment of lamprey spawning habitat in the Till catchment in England. 2015. Report produced by The Tweed Foundation for Natural England.

Wheeldon, J. 2018. Progress report on the English river SSSI/SAC physical restoration programme. Paper to the river SSSI restoration project steering group.

5. Range

5.1 Surface area (km²)

5.2 Short-term trend Period

5.3 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

5.5 Short-term trend Method used

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

5.6 Long-term trend Period

5.7 Long-term trend Direction

5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude

5.9 Long-term trend Method used

5.10 Favourable reference range

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

a) Area (km²)

b) Operator

c) Unknown

d) Method

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

No change

The change is mainly due to:

5.12 Additional information

Data contained within the Environment Agency Fish Population Database has been used to produce distribution maps for brook lamprey. This data has been collated from both routine and ad-hoc Environment Agency fish surveys. Brook lamprey distribution is likely to be under-represented in England as lamprey ammocoetes are unlikely to be speciated due to difficulties with identification. As it is not possible to distinguish between *Lampetra planeri* and *Lampetra fluviatilis* ammocoetes only *L. planeri* records have been used in the range assessment (assumed to be adults), therefore, additional *Lampetra* records may be *L. planeri*.

6. Population

6.1 Year or period

2013-2018

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit

number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 539

6.3 Type of estimate

Minimum

6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

6.6 Population size Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

6.7 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

6.11 Long-term trend Period

1994-2018

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

6.12 Long-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
6.14 Long-term trend Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)	a) Population size b) Operator c) Unknown d) Method
6.16 Change and reason for change in population size	No change The change is mainly due to:
6.17 Additional information	Due to varying levels of survey effort throughout the brook lamprey range it is not possible to accurately assess population trends for this period. However, as the species has been consistently recorded across its natural range and many of the barriers to brook lamprey migration have not changed significantly, the species is considered to be stable. In addition, river water quality has improved markedly over the period in many areas which may benefit both adult and ammocoete survival.

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat	a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (to maintain the species at FCS)? b) Is there a sufficiently large area of occupied AND unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (to maintain the species at FCS)?	No No
7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data	
7.3 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018	
7.4 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)	
7.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data	
7.6 Long-term trend Period		
7.7 Long-term trend Direction		
7.8 Long-term trend Method used		
7.9 Additional information	<p>Brook lamprey populations are reduced across England when compared with their natural/unimpacted reference condition. This decline is generally attributed to historical reductions in habitat quality due to poor water quality which are still on-going, and the historical introduction of physical barriers which stop brook lamprey reaching some areas of habitat which would previously have been available for spawning</p> <p>Access restrictions (due to physical barriers) to historical river habitat combined with poor water quality is thought to have been responsible for the low numbers of brook lamprey numbers within English rivers. It is likely that there would be an interaction between polluting inputs to the river and the presence of barriers, such as weirs, which may have compounded the impacts of individual stressors</p>	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

on the species. An example of this would be impoundments behind in-channel structures leading to increased deposition of fine sediment on the river bed. This reduces the mosaic of habitats which characterise a naturally functioning riverine environment and provide the range of microhabitats utilised by lamprey at different stages of their lifecycle. The extent to which poor water quality has effected brook lamprey populations is uncertain, however, excessive fine sediment may smother spawning gravels and nutrient enrichment may stimulate increased algal growth in these areas. Brook lamprey bury their eggs in redds within the gravel, therefore, the eggs are susceptible to deoxygenation effects related to gravel clogging. It is likely that the effects of water quality and physical habitat degradation are highly variable across the range of Brook lamprey. The current barriers to migration are likely to limit access to some areas of habitat which would be of suitable quality to maintain a viable lamprey population, however, without further improvements in both water quality and habitat quality, there is unlikely to be a sufficient area of currently unoccupied high quality habitat to maintain the species at FCS.

Brook lamprey have complex habitat requirements as their eggs are laid in well oxygenated gravels, the ammocoetes require soft sediments and upstream migratory passage, with relatively low flow velocities and turbulence, must be maintained for adults. These factors, combined with the widespread distribution of brook lamprey within England, make a detailed assessment of habitat quality trends impossible at the present time. However, Progress has been made with reducing nutrient and organic pollution levels in many rivers across England within the short-term trend period, which may have a beneficial effect on the quality of spawning and ammocoete substrates. However, issues of siltation of spawning gravels, physical barriers to upstream migration and physical habitat degradation are still significant stressors for brook lamprey populations within England. In addition, the increased focus on run of river hydropower schemes may result in man-made barriers to upstream lamprey migration being perpetuated for the foreseeable future as technical fish passage solutions are often biased towards salmonid species and may still represent a total barrier to lamprey passage.

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	H
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	H
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	H
Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01)	M
Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure (D02)	M
Abstraction of ground and surface waters (including marine) for public water supply and recreational use (F33)	M
Threat	Ranking
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	H
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	H

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01) H

Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01) H

Other climate related changes in abiotic conditions (N09) M

Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure (D02) H

Abstraction of ground and surface waters (including marine) for public water supply and recreational use (F33) M

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

K05 - Physical barriers which prevent brook lamprey reaching their upstream spawning grounds have been a pressure on many populations throughout their range. These barriers may also act synergistically with water quality problems such as increased sediment and nutrient load. Impoundments behind structures may lead to increased deposition of fine sediment on gravels and dissolved oxygen sags due to a lack of turbulent flow. In some areas fish passes have been added to barrier structures, however, these tend to be focused on increasing turbulent flows for the passage of salmonid species and are not suited to the passage of brook lamprey which generally require lower flow velocities.

K05 - Although new barriers are unlikely to be built within river systems used by brook lamprey, the modification of existing structures by the addition of fish passes to facilitate the passage of fish, (typically highly unsuitable for lamprey species), may hinder the removal / decommissioning of these structures. Such investment will allow the impacts on geomorphological process and associated impacts on lamprey to be perpetuated.

K04 - Brook lamprey require clean, well oxygenated gravels for spawning and silt substrates for ammocoetes. Changes to the hydrological regime may increase deposition rates of fine sediment on gravels, increase the resistance of structures to passage by brook lamprey and lead to stranding of ammocoetes during low flows. In addition river engineering works may increase spate flows within the catchment which may result in both adult and juvenile brook lamprey being washed out of areas of favourable habitat within the river system. If low flows are maintained over long periods of time, elevated water temperatures, deoxygenation, siltation and bed armouring may become evident. Conversely very high flows may scour gravel spawning beds and deposited eggs or silt substrates for ammocoetes.

K04 - Increased pressure on water supplies for drinking water and agricultural irrigation may lead to increased abstraction and lower flows within the channel. Increased channel engineering and flow modification for flood risk management may continue to degrade the complex habitat mosaic required for lamprey to complete their lifecycle

J01 - Diffuse agricultural pollution has increased the input of fine sediment, phosphate and nitrate to rivers leading to eutrophication issues such as increased algal production in spawning areas and smothering of spawning gravels. Urbanization and industrialization have resulted in discharges of both raw and treated sewage effluent, industrial effluents and diffuse urban pollution. These discharges may prove acutely toxic to brook lamprey or produce lethal effects due to deoxygenation. A wide variety of other chemicals, including pesticides and endocrine disrupters, have been released into the aquatic environment. These may result in obvious lethal effects, however, a wide variety of sub-lethal effects, such as reduced fertility may

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

affect the overall fitness of brook lamprey. Due to the diverse array of sources and impacts, the severity and contribution of each individual stressor on the population as a whole is unknown.

J01- While great improvements have been made in water quality across England, particularly relating to point source inputs of gross organic pollution, diffuse rural sources of nutrients and sediment emanating from agricultural land use are likely to continue to be a stress on the aquatic environment.

I01 - Invasive non-native crayfish species such as signal crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus* have the potential to increase predation pressure on both lamprey and their eggs. Invasive non-native crayfish may be more aggressive, more tolerant of poor water quality, better adapted to silty substrates and achieve greater biomasses than the indigenous white clawed crayfish

Austropotamobius pallipes which may have co-existed with lamprey in many areas across its English range. The invasion of habitats by INNS crayfish and the displacement of indigenous crayfish species may therefore have led to an increase in interspecific competition with between crayfish and lamprey.

I01 - Signal crayfish, together with other INNS crayfish species, continue to increase their range and populations in many English river and lake catchments. There are no effective control measures for INNS crayfish and their range is expected to continue to expand in river and lake networks for the foreseeable future.

N09 - Increases in temperature may produce synergistic effects with other environmental stresses such as increased toxicity of pollutants and more rapid deoxygenation. Low flows may reduce the ability of brook lamprey to pass barriers and high spate flows may lead to adults, ammocoetes and eggs being washed out of areas of suitable habitat.

N09 - The potential for climate change to impact on future brook lamprey populations is poorly understood. However, future climate change scenarios indicate a shift to a pattern of increasingly extreme events such as more prolonged low flows and higher, more energetic spate flows. This is likely to add further stress to brook lamprey populations by making migratory barriers harder to pass and the ability to utilise high quality in-river habitats more difficult.

D02 - Hydro-electric schemes may form major obstructions as brook lamprey populations are denied passage over spillways, through turbines and impoundments. Impounding structures may disrupt sediment movement down river, deepen and stabilise water levels, reduce hydraulic scour and increase siltation behind the structure. They may restrict the free movement of brook lamprey up and down the river. Designs may require the abstraction of water out of the channel through an off-line turbine, leaving a depleted reach. Other designs divert water within the channel through the turbine which may create current velocities that attract migrating lamprey. Bank reinforcements affect riparian habitats, whilst turbine arrangements without suitable screening can entrain lamprey, generating injuries and mortalities. Turbine offtakes may attract migrating lamprey resulting in delays to migration and increased predation.

D02 - the potential for an expansion of hydropower development across England may lead to a continuation of barriers to upstream lamprey migration. While fish passage must be considered by these developments, pass designs may continue to be targeted at salmonid species and unsuitable for brook lamprey.

F33 - Brook lamprey move through a number of English rivers, particularly in the upper areas of the catchment, and may pass drinking water abstraction

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

points while migrating to their upstream spawning grounds. Brook lamprey may be directly entrained in these abstractions.

F33 - The risk of entrainment in drinking water abstractions is expected to continue, and may increase, in many areas.

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

- a) Are measures needed? Yes
- b) Indicate the status of measures Measures identified and taken

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

Expand the current range of the species (related to 'Range')

9.3 Location of the measures taken

Both inside and outside Natura 2000

9.4 Response to the measures

Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

9.5 List of main conservation measures

Reduce impact of mixed source pollution (CJ01)

Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ02)

Restore habitats impacted by multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ03)

Management of professional/commercial fishing (including shellfish and seaweed harvesting) (CG01)

Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants (CG02)

Adopt climate change mitigation measures (CN01)

Reduce impact of hydropower operation and infrastructure (CC04)

Manage water abstraction for public supply and for industrial and commercial use (CF11)

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

- a) Range
- b) Population
- c) Habitat of the species

10.2 Additional information

Assumes no further increases in barriers to upstream migration associated with hydropower development

As improvements continue to be made regarding water quality and re-establishment of natural riverine processes in England and plans are developed and implemented to improve fish passage for brook lamprey in rivers around England, the area of freshwater habitat suitable for lamprey spawning and ammocoete development may be expected to increase. Set in opposition to this generally positive outlook are the unknowns of climate change effects which may lead to more extreme flow variations, the potential for continued diffuse agricultural pollution resulting in inputs of nutrients and fine sediment and the possibility of increases in energy production infrastructure associated with run of river hydropower.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range

11.2. Population

11.3. Habitat for the species

11.4. Future prospects

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 85

12.2 Type of estimate

Minimum

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

Stable (0)

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Distribution Map




Figure 1: UK distribution map for S1096 - Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available species records within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

Range Map




Figure 2: UK range map for S1096 - Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by applying a bespoke range mapping tool for Article 17 reporting (produced by JNCC) to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this species was 25km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

Explanatory Notes

Species name: *Lampetra planeri* (1096)

Field label	Note
2.4 Distribution map; Method used	Data contained within the Environment Agency Fish Population Database has been used to produce distribution maps for river lamprey. This data has been collated from both routine and ad-hoc Environment Agency fish surveys. Both adult and transformers are captured during routine electric fishing surveys (although the techniques may be refined for lamprey specific surveys) and benthic kick samples, therefore, recording effort across England is relatively high. However, they may be under recorded in some habitats due to operational difficulties using electric fishing gear in these areas. In addition, brook lamprey distribution is likely to be under-represented in England as lamprey ammocoetes are unlikely to be speciated due to difficulties with identification. As it is not possible to distinguish between <i>Lampetra planeri</i> and <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> , only <i>L. planeri</i> records have been used in the range assessment, therefore, additional <i>Lampetra</i> records may be <i>L. planeri</i> .

Species name: *Lampetra planeri* (1096) Region code: ATL

Field label	Note
5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	Data contained within the Environment Agency Fish Population Database has been used to produce distribution maps for brook lamprey. This data has been collated from both routine and ad-hoc Environment Agency fish surveys. Brook lamprey distribution is likely to be under-represented in England as lamprey ammocoetes are unlikely to be speciated due to difficulties with identification. As it is not possible to distinguish between <i>Lampetra planeri</i> and <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> ammocoetes only <i>L. planeri</i> records have been used in the range assessment (assumed to be adults), therefore, additional <i>Lampetra</i> records may be <i>L. planeri</i> .
6.6 Population size; Method used	A detailed methodology used for population assessment at the 1 km ² resolution and the associated interpolation approach can be found in the Interagency Freshwater Group paper, Procedure for estimating population using 1km square resolution records data
6.8 Short term trend; Direction	Records for brook lamprey are common throughout the short term trend period, however, survey effort is not consistent across the species range. It is therefore impossible to accurately assess a trend direction. The species is being regularly recorded across its natural range and there has been no significant increase in pressures, which suggests that the population is at least stable. The likelihood of an improving trend seems low because many of the artificial physical barriers to upstream migration that have blocked passage to historical upstream spawning grounds are still in place. Where fish passes have been added, they are generally unsuitable for brook lamprey. However, the water quality of a number of English rivers has improved in recent years, and this may have removed chemical barriers for migrating adults and improved ammocoete survival.
6.12 Long term trend; Direction	Due to varying levels of survey effort throughout the brook lamprey range it is not possible to accurately assess population trends for this period. However, as the species has been consistently recorded across its natural range and many of the barriers to brook lamprey migration have not changed significantly, the species is considered to be stable. In addition, river water quality has improved markedly over the period in many areas which may benefit both adult and ammocoete survival.

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size	A detailed methodology used for population assessment at the 1 km ² resolution and the associated interpolation approach can be found in the Interagency Freshwater Group paper, Procedure for estimating population using 1km square resolution records data.
7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat	<p>Brook lamprey populations are reduced across England when compared with their natural/unimpacted reference condition. This decline is generally attributed to historical reductions in habitat quality due to poor water quality which are still on-going, and the historical introduction of physical barriers which stop brook lamprey reaching some areas of habitat which would previously have been available for spawning. Access restrictions (due to physical barriers) to historical river habitat combined with poor water quality is thought to have been responsible for the low numbers of brook lamprey numbers within English rivers. It is likely that there would be an interaction between polluting inputs to the river and the presence of barriers, such as weirs, which may have compounded the impacts of individual stressors on the species. An example of this would be impoundments behind in-channel structures leading to increased deposition of fine sediment on the river bed. This reduces the mosaic of habitats which characterise a naturally functioning riverine environment and provide the range of microhabitats utilised by lamprey at different stages of their lifecycle. The extent to which poor water quality has effected brook lamprey populations is uncertain, however, excessive fine sediment may smother spawning gravels and nutrient enrichment may stimulate increased algal growth in these areas. Brook lamprey bury their eggs in redds within the gravel, therefore, the eggs are susceptible to deoxygenation effects related to gravel clogging. It is likely that the effects of water quality and physical habitat degradation are highly variable across the range of Brook lamprey. The current barriers to migration are likely to limit access to some areas of habitat which would be of suitable quality to maintain a viable lamprey population, however, without further improvements in both water quality and habitat quality, there is unlikely to be a sufficient area of currently unoccupied high quality habitat to maintain the species at FCS.</p>
7.4 Short term trend; Direction	<p>Brook lamprey have complex habitat requirements as their eggs are laid in well oxygenated gravels, the ammocoetes require soft sediments and upstream migratory passage, with relatively low flow velocities and turbulence, must be maintained for adults. These factors, combined with the widespread distribution of brook lamprey within England, make a detailed assessment of habitat quality trends impossible at the present time. However, Progress has been made with reducing nutrient and organic pollution levels in many rivers across England within the short-term trend period, which may have a beneficial effect on the quality of spawning and ammocoete substrates. However, issues of siltation of spawning gravels, physical barriers to upstream migration and physical habitat degradation are still significant stressors for brook lamprey populations within England. In addition, the increased focus on run of river hydropower schemes may result in man-made barriers to upstream lamprey migration being perpetuated for the foreseeable future as technical fish passage solutions are often biased towards salmonid species and may still represent a total barrier to lamprey passage.</p>

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/ threats

Pressures: K05 - Physical barriers which prevent brook lamprey reaching their upstream spawning grounds have been a pressure on many populations throughout their range. These barriers may also act synergistically with water quality problems such as increased sediment and nutrient load. Impoundments behind structures may lead to increased deposition of fine sediment on gravels and dissolved oxygen sags due to a lack of turbulent flow. In some areas fish passes have been added to barrier structures, however, these tend to be focused on increasing turbulent flows for the passage of salmonid species and are not suited to the passage of brook lamprey which generally require lower flow velocities. K04 - Brook lamprey require clean, well oxygenated gravels for spawning and silt substrates for ammocoetes. Changes to the hydrological regime may increase deposition rates of fine sediment on gravels, increase the resistance of structures to passage by brook lamprey and lead to stranding of ammocoetes during low flows. In addition river engineering works may increase spate flows within the catchment which may result in both adult and juvenile brook lamprey being washed out of areas of favourable habitat within the river system. If low flows are maintained over long periods of time, elevated water temperatures, deoxygenation, siltation and bed armouring may become evident. Conversely very high flows may scour gravel spawning beds and deposited eggs or silt substrates for ammocoetes. J01 - Diffuse agricultural pollution has increased the input of fine sediment, phosphate and nitrate to rivers leading to eutrophication issues such as increased algal production in spawning areas and smothering of spawning gravels. Urbanization and industrialization have resulted in discharges of both raw and treated sewage effluent, industrial effluents and diffuse urban pollution. These discharges may prove acutely toxic to brook lamprey or produce lethal effects due to deoxygenation. A wide variety of other chemicals, including pesticides and endocrine disrupters, have been released into the aquatic environment. These may result in obvious lethal effects, however, a wide variety of sub-lethal effects, such as reduced fertility may affect the overall fitness of brook lamprey. Due to the diverse array of sources and impacts, the severity and contribution of each individual stressor on the population as a whole is unknown. I01 - Invasive non-native crayfish species such as signal crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus* have the potential to increase predation pressure on both lamprey and their eggs. Invasive non-native crayfish may be more aggressive, more tolerant of poor water quality, better adapted to silty substrates and achieve greater biomasses than the indigenous white clawed crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes* which may have co-existed with lamprey in many areas across its English range. The invasion of habitats by INNS crayfish and the displacement of indigenous crayfish species may therefore have led to an increase in interspecific competition with between crayfish and lamprey. N09 - Increases in temperature may produce synergistic effects with other environmental stresses such as increased toxicity of pollutants and more rapid deoxygenation. Low flows may reduce the ability of brook lamprey to pass barriers and high spate flows may lead to adults, ammocoetes and eggs being washed out of areas of suitable habitat. D02 - Hydro-electric schemes may form major obstructions as brook lamprey populations are denied passage over spillways, through turbines and impoundments. Impounding structures may disrupt sediment movement down river, deepen and stabilise water levels, reduce hydraulic scour and increase siltation behind the structure. They may restrict the free movement of brook lamprey up and down the river. Designs may require the abstraction of water out of the channel through an off-line turbine, leaving a depleted reach. Other designs divert water within the channel through the turbine which may create current velocities that attract migrating lamprey. Bank reinforcements affect riparian habitats, whilst turbine arrangements without suitable screening can entrain lamprey, generating injuries and mortalities. Turbine offtakes may attract migrating lamprey resulting in delays to migration and increased predation. F33 - Brook lamprey move through a number of English rivers, particularly in the upper areas of the catchment, and may pass drinking water abstraction points while migrating to their upstream spawning grounds. Brook lamprey may be directly

entrained in these abstractions. Threats: K05 - Although new barriers are unlikely to be built within river systems used by brook lamprey, the modification of existing structures by the addition of fish passes to facilitate the passage of fish, (typically highly unsuitable for lamprey species), may hinder the removal / decommissioning of these structures. Such investment will allow the impacts on geomorphological process and associated impacts on lamprey to be perpetuated. K04 - Increased pressure on water supplies for drinking water and agricultural irrigation may lead to increased abstraction and lower flows within the channel. Increased channel engineering and flow modification for flood risk management may continue to degrade the complex habitat mosaic required for lamprey to complete their lifecycle. J01- While great improvements have been made in water quality across England, particularly relating to point source inputs of gross organic pollution, diffuse rural sources of nutrients and sediment emanating from agricultural land use are likely to continue to be a stress on the aquatic environment. I01 - Signal crayfish, together with other INNS crayfish species, continue to increase their range and populations in many English river and lake catchments. There are no effective control measures for INNS crayfish and their range is expected to continue to expand in river and lake networks for the foreseeable future. N09 - The potential for climate change to impact on future brook lamprey populations is poorly understood. However, future climate change scenarios indicate a shift to a pattern of increasingly extreme events such as more prolonged low flows and higher, more energetic spate flows. This is likely to add further stress to brook lamprey populations by making migratory barriers harder to pass and the ability to utilise high quality in-river habitats more difficult. D02 - the potential for an expansion of hydropower development across England may lead to a continuation of barriers to upstream lamprey migration. While fish passage must be considered by these developments, pass designs may continue to be targeted at salmonid species and unsuitable for brook lamprey. F33 - The risk of entrainment in drinking water abstractions is expected to continue, and may increase, in many areas.

9.5 List of main conservation measures

CJ01 - Work has continued to reduce discharges to both the Natura and wider river network. Major infrastructure projects to improve sewerage, such as removal or upgrade of combined sewer overflows and improved phosphorus removal from treated sewage effluent, has been funded via the water industry's programme of strategic improvements such as AMP and PR rounds. However, further investigations are needed into the application of new best available technology for phosphorus removal and the increased availability of mains sewerage for rural populations. The England Catchment Sensitive Farming Initiative is continuing to promote a range of best agricultural practices to reduce pollution loads to priority aquatic sites. A combination of Natura 2000, SSSI and Water Framework objectives continues to drive improvements in water quality with diffuse water pollution prevention plans developed for many sites.

CJ02/CJ03 - Abstraction management - Improvements have been achieved with limiting abstraction volumes and improving flow regimes by altering compensation flows from water company assets via AMP and PR rounds. However, further improvements are required to naturalise flows at many sites. As part of the on-going abstraction reform process, abstraction licences will become environmental permits and a greater emphasis will be given to environmental considerations. By 2022 all previously exempt abstractions will be permitted.

CJ02/CJ03 - Physical habitat restoration - A major programme of physical restoration has been implemented on the designated river network, involving the development of a long-term strategic plan for each river and its programmed implementation. These plans address key issues such as dams and weirs, floodplain reconnection, channel modifications, lack of riparian habitat, lack of riparian trees and lack of woody debris in the channel. Outside of the designated site network, river restoration schemes have focused on addressing channel modifications and the many weirs and dams on the river network in England. A further driver for river restoration has been the increased prominence of natural flood management. If properly implemented, NFM has the potential to enable widespread improvements in many previously degraded riverine habitats.

CGO1/CG02 - Fisheries in all rivers are subject to exploitation controls. Brook lamprey are listed in Annex II of the EC Habitats Directive. Annex II requires that Special Areas of Conservation are designated for river lamprey and that Member States should ensure the appropriate management of these and other sites where they are known to occur so that the favourable conservation status of the species can be secured. Additional fishery specific issues are addressed by the 'Salmon and freshwater fisheries act 1975' and the Marine and Coastal Access Act. Under this legislation the Environment Agency has powers to protect brook lamprey by regulating the stocking of other fish species which may compete with brook lamprey.

CC04 - In recent years the rapid increase in the installation of run-of-river hydropower schemes has led to concerns over their impacts on brook lamprey. Research has been undertaken on the safety of various turbine designs but this has mainly focused on fish strike by turbine blades and their associated screening requirements. The effects of these installations on lamprey behaviour and the associated delays to migration, energy costs to lamprey and increased predation rates are less well understood. Many of the studies have assessed individual installations. While each individual installation may have a relatively low impact on fish, where multiple schemes have been planned on a river, their in-combination effects on brook lamprey have not been fully taken into account. In addition, fish passage mitigations at these installations take no account of the loss of geomorphological processes within the river and often lead to the barrier and its associated impoundment being perpetuated when opportunities for its complete removal and restoration of river processes may have been available. In the case of strong swimming fish species such as salmon, the requirement for fish passage enhancements associated with these installations has led to increased connectivity between marine feeding grounds and riverine spawning habitat at some sites, however, brook lamprey may be excluded from passes with turbulent flows. It is therefore important to install fish passes which can be utilised by all species which would be considered representative of a location, including brook lamprey.

CN01 - The rationale

behind restoring river habitat in England is the restoration of natural riverine processes, which creates characteristic habitats and provides for individual species to an extent dependent on the natural character of the river. This rationale is also the main adaptation response for combatting climate change. Some aspects of restoring natural function are also seen as climate change mitigation measures, such as the re-establishment of natural tree cover and riparian vegetation which is being implemented as part of many river restoration schemes and agri-environment schemes. These interventions may result in moderated extremes of flow, reductions in water temperature and increased water quality. CF11 - Detailed assessments are being made of potential risks to brook lamprey due to abstractions from existing and new water supply infrastructure. Where problems are highlighted mitigation measures such as improved screening or modified operating procedures are being implemented.

10.1 Future prospects of parameters	Assumes no further increases in barriers to upstream migration associated with hydropower development
10.2 Additional information	As improvements continue to be made regarding water quality and re-establishment of natural riverine processes in England and plans are developed and implemented to improve fish passage for brook lamprey in rivers around England, the area of freshwater habitat suitable for lamprey spawning and ammocoete development may be expected to increase. Set in opposition to this generally positive outlook are the unknowns of climate change effects which may lead to more extreme flow variations, the potential for continued diffuse agricultural pollution resulting in inputs of nutrients and fine sediment and the possibility of increases in energy production infrastructure associated with run of river hydropower.