European Community Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC)

Fourth Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17

on the implementation of the Directive from January 2013 to December 2018

Supporting documentation for the conservation status assessment for the species:

S1096 - Brook lamprey (Lampetra planeri)

NORTHERN IRELAND

IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ

- The information in this document is a country-level contribution to the UK Report on the conservation status of this species, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.
- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information was used to produce the UK Report.
- The UK Report on the conservation status of this species is provided in a separate document.
- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.
- Explanatory notes (where provided) by the country are included at the end. These provide an audit trail of relevant supporting information.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; (iii) the field was not relevant to this species (section 12 Natura 2000 coverage for Annex II species) and/or (iv) the field was only relevant at UK-level (sections 9 Future prospects and 10 Conclusions).
- For technical reasons, the country-level future trends for Range, Population and Habitat for the species are only available in a separate spreadsheet that contains all the country-level supporting information.
- The country-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, https://jncc.gov.uk/article17, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

| NATIONAL LEVEL | | |
|---|--|--|
| 1. General information | | |
| 1.1 Member State | UK (Northern Ireland information only) | |
| 1.2 Species code | 1096 | |
| 1.3 Species scientific name | Lampetra planeri | |
| 1.4 Alternative species scientific name | | |
| 1.5 Common name (in national language) | Brook lamprey | |

2. Maps

| 2.1 Sensitive species | No |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2.2 Year or period | 1994-2018 |
| 2.3 Distribution map | Yes |
| 2.4 Distribution map Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data |
| 2.5 Additional maps | No |
| | |

| 3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14) | | |
|---|---|----|
| 3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited? | No | |
| 3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken? | a) regulations regarding access to property | No |
| | b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation | No |
| | c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens | No |
| | d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations | No |
| | e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas | No |
| | f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens | No |
| | g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species | No |

h) other measures

No

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

| b) Statistics/ quantity taken | Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Season/ year 1 | Season/ year 2 | Season/ year 3 | Season/ year 4 | Season/ year 5 | Season/ year 6 |
| Min. (raw, ie. not rounded) | | | | | | |
| Max. (raw, ie. not rounded) | | | | | | |
| Unknown | No | No | No | No | No | No |

- 3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used
- 3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

4.2 Sources of information

Atlantic (ATL)

Goodwin, C (2003). Ecology of three lamprey species in Northern Ireland. Goodwin, C.E., Dick, J.T.A., Rogowski, D.L., Elwood, R.W. (2008). Lamprey ammocoete habitat association at regional, catchment and microhabitat scales in Northern Ireland. Ecology of Freshwater Fish. Blackwell Munksgaard: 17: 542-553.

Loughs Agency (2010). Lamprey Baseline Surveys: River Finn and River Deele Co Donegal. Loughs Agency of the Foyle Carlingford and Irish Lights Commission. Report Ref: LA/Lamprey/04&09/11. https://www.loughs-agency.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/lamprey-baseline-surveys-finn-and-deele-2010.pdf. Maitland PS (2003). Ecology of the River, Brook and Sea Lamprey. Conserving Natura 2000 Rivers Ecology Series No. 5. English Nature, Peterborough. Niven, A & McCauley (2013a). Lamprey baseline survey No. 2: River Faughan and

Niven, A & McCauley (2013a). Lamprey baseline survey No. 2: River Faughan and Tributaries SAC. Loughs Agency, L'Derry.

Niven, A & McCauley (2013b). Lamprey baseline survey No. 3: River Foyle and Tributaries SAC. Loughs Agency, L'Derry.

Niven, A & McCauley (2013c). Lamprey baseline survey No. 4: River Roe and Tributaries SAC. Loughs Agency, L'Derry.

Kurz, I and Costello, M.J. 1999 An outline of the biology, distribution and conservation of Lampreys in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals No 5. Ducjas, Dublin.

5. Range

- 5.1 Surface area (km²)
- 5.2 Short-term trend Period

| ii, iv and v species (Ani | nex b) | |
|---|--|--|
| 5.3 Short-term trend Direction | Uncertain (u) | |
| 5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum | b) Maximum |
| 5.5 Short-term trend Method used | | |
| 5.6 Long-term trend Period | | |
| 5.7 Long-term trend Direction | | |
| 5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum | b) Maximum |
| 5.9 Long-term trend Method used | | |
| 5.10 Favourable reference range | a) Area (km²)b) Operatorc) Unknownd) Method | |
| 5.11 Change and reason for change | No change | |
| in surface area of range | The change is mainl | v due to: |
| | | , |
| 5.12 Additional information | | |
| 6. Population | | |
| 6.1 Year or period | 1994-2018 | |
| | | |
| 6.2 Population size (in reporting unit) | a) Unit | number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) |
| | b) Minimum | |
| | c) Maximum | |
| | d) Best single value | 348 |
| 6.3 Type of estimate | Minimum | |
| 6.4 Additional population size (using | a) Unit | |
| population unit other than reporting | b) Minimum | |
| unit) | c) Maximum | |
| | d) Best single value | |
| 6.5 Type of estimate | | |
| 6.6 Population size Method used | Based mainly on ext | rapolation from a limited amount of data |
| 6.7 Short-term trend Period | 2007-2018 | |
| 6.8 Short-term trend Direction | Unknown (x) | |
| 6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum b) Maximum | |
| | c) Confidence interv | al |
| 6.10 Short-term trend Method used | Insufficient or no da | ta available |
| 6.11 Long-term trend Period | | |
| o.11 Long term trend remod | | |
| 6.12 Long-term trend Direction | | |

a) Minimumb) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

- a) Population size
- b) Operator
- c) Unknown
- d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

No change

The change is mainly due to:

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (to maintain the species at FCS)?

Unknown

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of occupied AND unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (to maintain the species at FCS)?

Unknown

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Insufficient or no data available

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2001-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Uncertain (u)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Insufficient or no data available

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

| Pressure | Ranking |
|--|---------|
| Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25) | Н |
| Drainage (K02) | Н |
| Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26) | Н |
| Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01) | Н |
| Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01) | Н |
| Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (FO2) | M |
| Other modification of hydrological conditions for industrial or commercial development (F32) | M |

| Other industrial and commercial activities and structures generating point pollution to surface or ground waters (F15) | M |
|--|---------|
| Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08) | M |
| Threat | Ranking |
| Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25) | Н |
| Drainage (K02) | Н |
| Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26) | Н |
| Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01) | Н |
| Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01) | Н |
| Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (F02) | M |
| Other modification of hydrological conditions for industrial or commercial development (F32) | M |
| Other industrial and commercial activities and structures generating point pollution to surface or ground waters (F15) | M |
| Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08) | M |
| | |

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

| 3. Conscivation incasares | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 9.1 Status of measures | a) Are measures needed? | Yes |
| | b) Indicate the status of measures | Measures identified and taken |
| 9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken | Maintain the current range, populat | ion and/or habitat for the species |
| 9.3 Location of the measures taken | Both inside and outside Natura 2000 |) |
| 9.4 Response to the measures | Medium-term results (within the ne | xt two reporting periods, 2019-2030) |
| 9.5 List of main conservation measures | | |
| | | |

Other measures related to agricultural practices (CA16)

Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ02)

Restore habitats impacted by multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ03)

Manage the use of natural fertilisers and chemicals in agricultural (plant and animal) production (CA09)

Reduce impact of mixed source pollution (CJ01)

Reduce impact of transport operation and infrastructure (CE01)

Other measures related to residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructures, operations and activities (CF12)

Manage changes in hydrological and coastal systems and regimes for construction and development (CF10)

Reduce/eliminate point source pollution to surface or ground waters from industrial, commercial, residential and recreational areas and activities (CF04)

Reduce impact of other specific human actions (CH03)

9.6 Additional information

Measures have been taken via the Water Framework Directive to maintain the water quality within all catchments in which the species is present.

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

- a) Range
- Unknown
- b) Population
- c) Habitat of the species

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range

11.2. Population

11.3. Habitat for the species

11.4. Future prospects

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

a) Unit

number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value 67

12.2 Type of estimate

Minimum

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

Unknown (x)

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

Insufficient or no data available

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

Distribution Map




Figure 1: UK distribution map for S1096 - Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available species records within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

Range Map




Figure 2: UK range map for S1096 - Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by applying a bespoke range mapping tool for Article 17 reporting (produced by JNCC) to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this species was 25km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

Explanatory Notes

Species name: Lampetra planeri (1096)

Field label

2.2 Year or Period Due to under-recording of Lamprey species, all records from 1994 have been used for

the range maps and population estimates.

| Species name: Lampetra planeri (1096) Region code: ATL | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Field label | Note | | |
| 5.3 Short term trend; Direction | Lamprey recording for this reporting period has been more comprehensive than in the past. Specific recording of the presence of Lamprey species was carried out by dedicated personnel during electro-fishing surveys by AFBI and Loughs Agency staff. This increased recording effort accounts for the increase in Lamprey records in comparison to previous reporting rounds - it is therefore impossible to say if there has been an actual change in either range or population. Species range is likely underrepresented in the data presented in this report. Species range is likely underrepresented in the data presented in this report. This is due to the following factors: Survey Timing/Method - surveys were carried out at a time of year when adults are less likely to be defected and those used were designed to target Salmonids, with little emphasis for recorders to document lamprey bycatch; Recorders had difficulty distinguishing the river and brook species. | | |
| 6.1 Year or Period | Due to under-recording of Lamprey species, all records from 1994 have been used for the range maps and population estimates. | | |
| 6.3 Type of estimate | The river and brook lamprey population data for this reporting period has been combined in this report as a result of research carried out 2017 which debated the distinctiveness of the species. There are three main issues with the data obtained: Recorders were unable to identify the differences between adults of the species and so were denoted 'lamprey sp.' or 'Lamprey'; Most of the records of the species are of ammocoetes which can't be distinguished between species; Surveys in places where the species is recorded anecdotally, are not undertaken at the time of year when adults are present. | | |
| 6.6 Population size; Method used | In Northern Ireland, records for the three lamprey species are largely anecdotal; however targeted surveys have been carried out historically as part of PhD research and localised monitoring. The majority of anecdotal records are derived from bycatch data, taken during quantitative and semi-quantitative salmon monitoring and Water Framework Directive salmonid surveys carried out by AFBI Northern Ireland and the Loughs Agency. The Water Framework Directive and salmon surveys are not undertaken during lamprey spawning time and so the records largely consist of non-breeding adult and ammocoete data. This is not representative of the entire population number and structure for this species. | | |
| 6.8 Short term trend; Direction | Due to the lamprey records for this reporting period being largely anecdotal and not based on systematic, repeated surveys, it is not possible to make direct comparisons between this and the previous reporting period with regard to species parameters. | | |

| 6.10 Short term trend; Method used | Due to under-recording of Lamprey species, all records from 1994 have been used for the range maps and population estimates. After liaison with NI agencies responsible for fish recording, the recording for the 2013-2018 period is more comprehensive. It is for this reason that there is an increase in records by comparison to the last reporting round, not because of an increase in lamprey populations. However it is impossible to say if there has been an actual change in either parameter based on the records obtained for this reporting period. Species population is likely under-represented in the data presented in this report. This is due to the following factors: Survey Timing/Method - surveys were carried out at a time of year when adults are less likely to be detected and those used were designed to target Salmonids, with little emphasis for recorders to document lamprey bycatch; Recorders had difficulty distinguishing the river and brook species. |
|--|--|
| 6.16 Change and reason for change in population size | Due to the lack of systematic, repeated surveys over time and the lack of resource to make inferences using incidental records, we are unable to comment on the population trends for any of the lamprey species. |
| 7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat | It is difficult to fully assess the sufficiency of habitat area for the species, as we do not have enough information on species populations and range. Based on the densities of lamprey spp. that Goodwin et al (2009) reported (mean 2.16 per m2), habitat is generally considered to be of moderate quality for lamprey spp |
| 7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat; Method used | In the absence of lamprey habitat surveys, water quality data was used as proxy. Water quality was assessed using data on pollution incidents, industrial consents, abstraction licensing and surface water body monitoring sites, for river segments and river, transitional, coastal and lake water bodies within the catchments in which lamprey were recorded as present in. This data was last updated between August and November 2017 |
| 8.1 Characterisation of pressures/ threats | Identification of threats and pressures faced by the species was derived - in part - from an exercise where experts were asked to rank the importance of threats and pressures, reported in the previous assessment. A total of 5 species experts participated in the exercise. The threats and pressures listed for Brook/River Lamprey were generally associated with potential pollution of water-courses, damage to river sediments, and obstructions to movement - i.e A25: Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters; K02: Drainage (i.e. Destruction of sediment beds has been identified as a threat to ammocoete populations; it has therefore been included in the pressures and threats section for all lamprey species); A26: Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters; J01: Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial); E01: Roads, paths railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels); F02: Construction or modification (of e.g. housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas; F32: Other modification of hydrological conditions for industrial or commercial development; F15: Other industrial and commercial activities and structures generating point pollution to surface or ground waters; H08: Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above. |
| 9.5 List of main conservation measures | Measures have been taken via the Water Framework Directive to maintain the water quality within all catchments in which the species is present. |