European Community Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC)

Fourth Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17

on the implementation of the Directive from January 2013 to December 2018

Supporting documentation for the conservation status assessment for the species:

S1096 - Brook lamprey (Lampetra planeri)

SCOTLAND

IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ

- The information in this document is a country-level contribution to the UK Report on the conservation status of this species, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.
- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information was used to produce the UK Report.
- The UK Report on the conservation status of this species is provided in a separate document.
- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.
- Explanatory notes (where provided) by the country are included at the end. These provide an audit trail of relevant supporting information.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; (iii) the field was not relevant to this species (section 12 Natura 2000 coverage for Annex II species) and/or (iv) the field was only relevant at UK-level (sections 9 Future prospects and 10 Conclusions).
- For technical reasons, the country-level future trends for Range, Population and Habitat for the species are only available in a separate spreadsheet that contains all the country-level supporting information.
- The country-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, https://jncc.gov.uk/article17, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

NATIONAL LEVEL		
1. General information		
1.1 Member State	UK (Scotland information only)	
1.2 Species code	1096	
1.3 Species scientific name	Lampetra planeri	
1.4 Alternative species scientific name		
1.5 Common name (in national language)	Brook lamprey	

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	1990-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
14 have been taken?	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking	No

specimens or of quotas

artificial propagation of plant species
h) other measures
No

f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale,

keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as No

No

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

4.2 Sources of information

Atlantic (ATL)

Ecological Research Associates. 2017. National Lamprey Survey of Scotland. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 464.

Forth Fisheries Foundation. 2004. River and brook lamprey monitoring of the Endrick Water cSAC/SSSI. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 057.

Watt J, Bull C, Ravenscroft, NOM, Seed M. 2011. Lamprey Survey of the Endrick Water SSSI/SAC 2008. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 320. Bull C, Perfect C, Watt J. 2016. Site condition monitoring of lamprey in the Endrick Water SSSI and SAC 2012. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 911. https://www.nature.scot/snh-commissioned-report-911-site-condition-monitoring-lamprey-endrick-water-sssi-and-sac-2012 Hume JB. 2011 Adult Jamprey survey of the Endrick Water SSSI and SAC 2009-

Hume JB. 2011 Adult lamprey survey of the Endrick Water SSSI and SAC 2009-2010. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 480.

Watt, J., Brown, L. & Bull, C. 2011. Lamprey Site Condition Monitoring of the River Spey SSSI and SAC. Unpublished Scottish Natural Heritage commissioned report.

APEM. 2004. Distribution of sea, brook and river lampreys on the River Tay. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 032.

Watt J, Ravenscroft NOM, Seed M. 2008. Site Condition Monitoring of lamprey in the River Tay SAC. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 292. Watt J, Bull C. Site Condition Monitoring of lamprey in the River Tay SAC 2012-2013. Unpublished Scottish Natural Heritage commissioned report.

Maitland PS. 2000. Distribution of lampreys in the River Teith. Unpublished Scottish Natural Heritage commissioned report.

Bull C. 2003. Electro-fishing survey in selected tributaries of the River Teith

candidate Special Area of Conservation. Unpublished Scottish Natural Heritage commissioned report.

Bull C, Watt J. Site condition monitoring of lamprey in the River Teith SAC 2011. Unpublished Scottish Natural Heritage commissioned report.

Bull C. Site condition monitoring of lamprey in the River Teith SAC 2015-2016. Unpublished Scottish Natural Heritage commissioned report.

Bull C, Shelton J, Coulson M. 2018. The collection of larval sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus) using drift netting, suction pumping, and airlift sampling: a trial to inform the development of methods suitable for Site Condition Monitoring. Scottish Natural Heritage Research Report No. 1040.

The Tweed Foundation. 2004. Assessment of lamprey distribution and abundance in the River Tweed cSAC/SSSI. Unpublished Scottish Natural Heritage commissioned report.

IAFG. 2017. UK Article 17 reporting procedure for estimating population using 1 km square resolution records data. Inter-agency Freshwater Group, UK. Watt J, Bull C, Ravenscroft NOM. Site Condition Monitoring of lamprey in the River Tweed SSSI and SAC 2011. Unpublished Scottish Natural Heritage commissioned report.

Records from the Atlas of Freshwater Fishes accessed through NBN Atlas website (https://registry.nbnatlas.org/public/show/dr741).

Records from the Freshwater Fish Recording Scheme accessed through the NBN Atlas website (https://registry.nbnatlas.org/public/show/dr1851).

North East Scotland Fish Records 1800-2010 accessed through the NBN Atlas website (https://registry.nbnatlas.org/public/show/dr1230).

NBN Atlas website at http://www.nbnatlas.org accessed 29 June 2018.

5. Range

5.1 Surface area (km²)

5.2 Short-term trend Period

5.3 Short-term trend Direction

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude

5.5 Short-term trend Method used

5.6 Long-term trend Period

5.7 Long-term trend Direction

5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude

5.9 Long-term trend Method used

5.10 Favourable reference range

Unknown (x)

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

a) Area (km²)

b) Operator

c) Unknown

d) Method

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

5.12 Additional information

6. Population

6.1 Year or period 1990-2018 6.2 Population size (in reporting unit) a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value 2602 6.3 Type of estimate Minimum 6.4 Additional population size (using a) Unit population unit other than reporting b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value 6.5 Type of estimate 6.6 Population size Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data 6.7 Short-term trend Period 2006-2018 6.8 Short-term trend Direction Unknown (x) 6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval 6.10 Short-term trend Method used Insufficient or no data available 6.11 Long-term trend Period 6.12 Long-term trend Direction 6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval 6.14 Long-term trend Method used 6.15 Favourable reference a) Population size population (using the unit in 6.2 or b) Operator 6.4) c) Unknown d) Method 6.16 Change and reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method in population size

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (to maintain the species at FCS)?

The change is mainly due to:

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of occupied AND unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (to maintain the species at FCS)?

Unknown

Use of different method

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of	
occupied habitat Method used	

Insufficient or no data available

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2006-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Unknown (x)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Insufficient or no data available

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25)	М
Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26)	M
Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters (B23)	M
Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure (D02)	M
Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	M
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	M
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	M
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н
Change of habitat location, size, and / or quality due to	Н
climate change (N05)	
	Ranking
climate change (N05)	
climate change (N05) Threat Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to	Ranking
climate change (N05) Threat Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25) Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface	Ranking M
climate change (N05) Threat Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25) Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26) Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground	Ranking M
climate change (N05) Threat Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25) Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26) Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters (B23) Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including	Ranking M M
Climate change (N05) Threat Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25) Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26) Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters (B23) Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure (D02) Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or	Ranking M M M
Climate change (N05) Threat Agricultural activities generating point source pollution to surface or ground waters (A25) Agricultural activities generating diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters (A26) Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters (B23) Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure (D02) Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	Ranking M M M M M

Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)

Change of habitat location, size, and / or quality due to climate change (NO5)

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures a) Are measures needed?

b) Indicate the status of measures Measures identified and taken

9.2 Main purpose of the measures Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species

9.3 Location of the measures taken Both inside and outside Natura 2000

9.4 Response to the measures Long-term results (after 2030)

9.5 List of main conservation measures

Reduce/eliminate point pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities (CA10)

Reduce diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from agricultural activities (CA11)

Reduce diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from forestry activities (CB10)

Reduce impact of hydropower operation and infrastructure (CC04)

Reduce/eliminate point source pollution to surface or ground waters from industrial, commercial, residential and recreational areas and activities (CF04)

Reduce/eliminate diffuse pollution to surface or ground waters from industrial, commercial, residential and recreational areas and activities (CF05)

Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ02)

Adopt climate change mitigation measures (CN01)

Implement climate change adaptation measures (CN02)

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

- a) Range
- b) Population
- c) Habitat of the species

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range

11.2. Population

11.3. Habitat for the species

11.4. Future prospects

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

a) Unit

number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 1294

12.2 Type of estimate

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

Minimum

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

Unknown (x)

Insufficient or no data available

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

Distribution Map




Figure 1: UK distribution map for S1096 - Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available species records within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

Range Map




Figure 2: UK range map for S1096 - Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by applying a bespoke range mapping tool for Article 17 reporting (produced by JNCC) to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this species was 25km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

Explanatory Notes

Constitution of the state of th		
Species name: Lampetra plano Field label	Note	
2.2 Year or Period	Data gathered 1990-2016 have been used and extrapolated to 2018. Only one national survey has been undertaken during this period and the results of it are still thought to be relevant to the distribution assessment. Other records gathered throughout this period are also thought to be relevant to the distribution mapping.	
2.4 Distribution map; Method used	The approach to mapping (see IAFG, 2017) is different to that used in 2013 when only actual records of the species were used to illustrate its distribution using occupied 1 km x 1 km squares. The map produced for 2019 includes not only actual records for the species (NB Lampetra and L. planeri) as occupied 1 km x 1 km squares but also those monads between the most upstream and downstream occupied squares irrespective of whether they include a record or not.	
Species name: Lampetra plano Field label	eri (1096) Region code: ATL Note	
5.1 Surface area	The approach to mapping (see IAFG, 2017) is different to that used in 2013 when only actual records of the species were used to illustrate its range using occupied 1 km x 1 km squares. The map produced for 2019 includes not only actual records for the species (NB Lampetra and L. planeri) as occupied 1 km x 1 km squares but also those monads between the most upstream and downstream occupied squares irrespective of whether they include a record or not.	
5.3 Short term trend; Direction	Insufficient information is available to determine the short term trend in the range of the species. To date only one national survey has been undertaken. Site Condition Monitoring surveys tend to focus on approximately the same areas. Any difference in range is likely to be the result of better information and the use of a different mapping method (see IAFG, 2017) rather than actual change.	
5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	The approach to mapping (see IAFG, 2017) is different to that used in 2013 when only actual records of the species were used to illustrate its distribution using occupied 1 km x 1 km squares. The map produced for 2019 includes not only actual records for the species (NB Lampetra and L. planeri) as occupied 1 km x 1 km squares but also those monads between the most upstream and downstream occupied squares irrespective of whether they include a record or not.	
6.1 Year or Period	Data gathered 1990-2016 have been used and extrapolated to 2018. Only one national survey has been undertaken during this period and the results of it are still thought to be relevant to the distribution assessment. Other records gathered throughout this period are also thought to be relevant to the population assessment.	
6.2 Population size	The approach to estimating the population size (see IAFG, 2017) is different to that used in 2013 when only actual records of occupied 1 km x 1 km squares were used. The estimate produced for 2019 includes not only actual records of 1 km x 1 km squares occupied by the species (NB Lampetra and L. planeri) but also those monads between the most upstream and downstream occupied squares irrespective of whether they include a record or not. The best single value for occupied wholly Scotland 1 km x 1 km squares is 2602. In addition there are 33 occupied Scotland/England transboundary 1 km x 1 km squares.	

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size	The change in population size reflects the new method (see IAFG, 2017) of mapping the species, i.e. actual records for the species as occupied 1 km x 1 km squares and the 1 km x 1 km squares between the most downstream records and the most upstream records have been included. Assessments of the true population size would be unlikely to be meaningful due to the infrequency of surveys, the transient nature of the species' habitat, and interannual variation in the number of individuals.
8.1 Characterisation of pressures/ threats	Pressures arising from inadequate land management and pollution control and river engineering are likely to persist in the short to medium term. Regulation aimed at dealing with these issues exits and is evolving but there may be a lag in the biological response to improvements. The exact nature of the direct and indirect effects of climate change remain unknown.
9.1 Status of measures	The measures given in the 2013 report were some of those needed to ensure that brook lamprey habitat was maintained or restored. However, non was undertaken specifically for brook lamprey. The situation is the same for the current reporting period. The presumption is that the presence of good habitat is likely to result in healthy populations.
10.1 Future prospects of parameters	Reliable information about the range, population, and habitat of the species has yet to be established. Until it is it will not be possible to provide a meaningful prediction of the prospects for these parameters. Any assessment that might be used to inform predictions must take account of both the direct and indirect effects of climate change.
12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network	The best single value for occupied wholly Scotland 1 km x 1 km squares is 1294. In addition there are 32 occupied Scotland/England transboundary 1 km x 1 km squares.
12.4 Short term trend of the population size within the network; Direction	Insufficient information is available to determine the short term trend in the population within the network. Any attempt to determine what the trend might be would be complicated by the transient nature of the species' habitat and interannual variation in the number of individuals.