# European Community Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC)

# Fourth Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17

on the implementation of the Directive from January 2013 to December 2018

Supporting documentation for the conservation status assessment for the species:

S1309 - Common pipistrelle (Pipistrellus pipistrellus)

NORTHERN IRELAND

#### **IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ**

- The information in this document is a country-level contribution to the UK Report on the conservation status of this species, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.
- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information was used to produce the UK Report.
- The UK Report on the conservation status of this species is provided in a separate document.
- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.
- Explanatory notes (where provided) by the country are included at the end. These provide an audit trail of relevant supporting information.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; (iii) the field was not relevant to this species (section 12 Natura 2000 coverage for Annex II species) and/or (iv) the field was only relevant at UK-level (sections 9 Future prospects and 10 Conclusions).
- For technical reasons, the country-level future trends for Range, Population and Habitat for the species are only available in a separate spreadsheet that contains all the country-level supporting information.
- The country-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, https://jncc.gov.uk/article17, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

	NATIONAL LEVEL
1. General information	
1.1 Member State	UK (Northern Ireland information only)
1.2 Species code	1309
1.3 Species scientific name	Pipistrellus pipistrellus
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name (in national language)	Common pipistrelle

# 2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	1994-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to	Annex V Species (Art. 14)	
3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
14 have been taken?	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	<ul><li>c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens</li></ul>	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No

h) other measures

No

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

#### a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken		·-		er hunting sed) over t	•	
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

#### **BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL**

## 4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

4.2 Sources of information

#### Atlantic (ATL)

Lundy, M. & Montgomery, I. (2010) Summer habitat associations of bats between riparian landscapes and within riparian areas, European Journal of Wildlife Research, 56(3): 385-394.

Lundy, M.G., Aughney, T., Montgomery, W.I., and Roche, N. (2011). Landscape conservation for Irish bats & species: specific roosting characteristics. Bat Conservation Ireland. Unpublished.

Russ, J.M. & Montgomery, W.I. (2002). Habitat association of bats in Northern Ireland: implications for conservation. Biological Conservation. 108: 49-58. Lundy, M.G., Buckley, D.J., Boston, E.S.M., Scott, D.D., Prodohl, P.A., Marnell, F., Teeling, E.C., Montgomery, W.I., (2012). Behavioural context of multi-scale species distribution models assessed by radio-tracking. Basic Appl. Ecol., http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2011.1012.1003.

Hutson, A.M., Mickleburgh, S.P., and Racey, P.A. (comp.). (2001).

Microchiropteran bats: global status survey and conservation action plan. IUCN/SSC Chiroptera Specialist Group. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. x + 258 pp.

Hutson, A.M., Mickleburgh, S.P. & Racey, P.A. (comp.). (2001) Global Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan Microchiropteran Bats, The Nature Conservation Bureau Ltd, ISBN: 2-8317-0595-9, http://www.uni-giessen.de/faculties/f08/departments/tsz/mammalian-ecology-group/downloads/iucn-microchiroptera

Russ, J.M. (1999). The Microchiroptera of Northern Ireland: community composition, habitat associations and ultrasound. Unpublished Ph.D thesis. The Queen's University of Belfast.

Russ, J.M., Briffa M. & Montgomery, W.I. (2003). Seasonal patterns in activity

report compiled for CEDaR

and habitat use by Pipistrellus spp. and Nyctalus leisleri in Northern Ireland, determined using a driving transect. Journal of Zoology. 259: 289-299. Boston, E. (2016) A report on Article 17 reporting for Northern Ireland on the eight bat species listed in annex IV of the UK Habitats Directive, unpublished

Mathews, F., Richardson, S., Lintott, P., and Hosken, D. 2016. Understanding the Risk to European Protected Species (bats) at Onshore Wind Turbine Sites to inform Risk Management. University of Exeter. Report to DEFRA.

Roche, N., Langton, S. and Aughney T. (2012) Car-based bat monitoring in Ireland 2003-2011. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 60. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland.

Aughney, T., Roche., N., & Langton, S. (2016) Irish Bat Monitoring Schemes: Annual Report for 2015. www.batconservationireland.org.

b) Maximum

b) Maximum

#### 5. Range

- 5.1 Surface area (km²)
- 5.2 Short-term trend Period
- 5.3 Short-term trend Direction
- 5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude
- 5.5 Short-term trend Method used
- 5.6 Long-term trend Period
- 5.7 Long-term trend Direction
- 5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude
- 5.9 Long-term trend Method used
- 5.10 Favourable reference range
- 5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

Stable (0)

- a) Minimum

- a) Minimum
- a) Area (km²)
- b) Operator
- c) Unknown
- d) Method

No change

The change is mainly due to:

#### 5.12 Additional information

## 6. Population

6.1 Year or period

1994-2018

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit

number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value 533

6.3 Type of estimate

Best estimate

6.4 Additional population size (using
population unit other than reporting
unit)

a) Unit number of individuals (i)

b) Minimum 110000 c) Maximum 331000

d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

Best estimate

6.6 Population size Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.7 Short-term trend Period

2003-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Increasing (+)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

e, communice mich var

6.11 Long-term trend Period

6.12 Long-term trend Direction

- a) Minimum
- 6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude
- b) Maximum
- c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

- a) Population size
- b) Operator
- c) Unknown
- d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Genuine change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

#### 6.17 Additional information

## 7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (to maintain the species at FCS)?

Yes

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of occupied AND unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (to maintain the species at FCS)?

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

occupied habitat Method used
7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Uncertain (u)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Insufficient or no data available

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

# 8. Main pressures and threats

## 8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

o.i characterisation of pressures, timeats	
Pressure	Ranking
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)	Н
Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding tillage) (A23)	M
Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)	M
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	Н
Wind, wave and tidal power, including infrastructure (D01)	Н
Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	Н
Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (F02)	Н
Residential or recreational activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution (F24)	M
Industrial or commercial activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution (F25)	M
Closure or restricted access to site/habitat (H06)	M
Threat	Ranking
Threat  Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)	Ranking H
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open	
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)  Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding	Н
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)  Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding tillage) (A23)	H M
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)  Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding tillage) (A23)  Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)	H M
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)  Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding tillage) (A23)  Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)  Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	H M H
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)  Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding tillage) (A23)  Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)  Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)  Wind, wave and tidal power, including infrastructure (D01)  Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g.	H  M  M  H  H
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)  Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding tillage) (A23)  Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)  Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)  Wind, wave and tidal power, including infrastructure (D01)  Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)  Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements)	H  M  M  H  H  H
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)  Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding tillage) (A23)  Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)  Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)  Wind, wave and tidal power, including infrastructure (D01)  Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)  Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (F02)  Residential or recreational activities and structures generating	H  M  M  H  H  H

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

#### 9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?

Yes

O 2 Main mumans of the managemen

b) Indicate the status of measures

Measures identified and taken

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species

9.3 Location of the measures taken

Both inside and outside Natura 2000

9.4 Response to the measures

Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

### 10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

- a) Range
- b) Population
- c) Habitat of the species

10.2 Additional information

#### 11. Conclusions

11.1. Range

11.2. Population

11.3. Habitat for the species

11.4. Future prospects

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

# 12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

- 12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)
- 12.2 Type of estimate
- 12.3 Population size inside the network Method used
- 12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction
- 12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used
- 12.6 Additional information

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

## 13. Complementary information

- 13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends
- 13.2 Trans-boundary assessment
- 13.3 Other relevant Information

# **Distribution Map**




Figure 1: UK distribution map for S1309 - Common pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available species records within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

# Range Map



Figure 2: UK range map for S1309 - Common pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*).Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by The Mammal Society applying a range mapping tool as outlined in Matthews et al. (2018), to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this species was 20km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

# **Explanatory Notes**

#### Species name: Pipistrellus pipistrellus (1309) Region code: ATL

Field label

Note

5.3 Short term trend; Direction

There is good distributional data available for the common pipistrelle in Northern Ireland, and expert opinion of BCI suggests that this species is likely to cover the entire surface of Ireland and the range is stable. Range therefore assessed as Stable with 'no' change in the surface area of its range.

5.5 Short term trend; Method used

There is good distributional data available for the common pipistrelle in Northern Ireland, despite the fact that accurate records have only been collected since the 1990s by the Northern Ireland Bat Group (NIBG), Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) and Bat Conservation Ireland (BCI), when it was distinguished as a separate species from the soprano pipistrelle. It is likely that there a number of additional incidental records from other sources that have not been added to the species recording databases. Given the ubiquitous nature of the species, it is unlikely that the omission of these records will have influenced the interpretation of the overall distribution of the species within this report.

6.1 Year or Period

Due to inconsistent recording, population estimates (1x1km squares presence) for all bat species have been based upon available data from the period 1994-2018.

6.5 Type of estimate

Based upon estimate used in 2013 Report. Since all common pipistrelle bat roosts are not known it is not possible to count the population based on a complete census. Therefore, the population of mature (volant) individuals has been estimated using data from the Car-based Bat Monitoring Scheme using two different methods. Both methods are based on the probability of detecting a common pipistrelle at any roadside location at any given time and a perceived detection range for echolocating common pipistrelle bats of 20-30m. One method uses the approximate area that is detectable (Area Method). The area of Northern Ireland is then divided by the approximate detectable area and multiplied by the probability of detecting a common pipistrelle bat along any given roadside in Northern Ireland (2007-2012) on any given evening, from Car-based Bat Monitoring data. The alternative method assumes that pipistrelles fly mainly along linear features (Linear Method). This uses the total length of linear features in Northern Ireland, divided by approximate detectable distance for the species (20-30m), and multiplied by the probability of detecting a common pipistrelle from Car-based Bat Monitoring Scheme data. The minimum end of the range (110,000) is based on the Linear Method and wider detection range (30m) while the maximum end (331,000) is based the Area Method using the closer detection range (20m). These population estimates use a number of assumptions which may be only approximately correct. They could be improved with more detailed information on size and shape of detectable areas, greater knowledge of common pipistrelle habitat use around roadsides and other factors. However, it may be considered a starting point from which to refine future estimates. See Roche et al. (2012) for further details.

6.6 Population size; Method used

There is good distributional data available for the common pipistrelle in Northern Ireland. Regarding systematic surveys, this species has been monitored annually on an All-Ireland basis through the Car-based Bat Monitoring Scheme since 2003. Additional used in this report are collected by NI Bat Group, Bat Conservation Trust and Bat Conservation Ireland are available from databases managed by CEDaR, NI Bat Group, Bat Conservation Ireland and the National Biodiversity Data Centre. Incidental records from other sources may not have been added to these databases. Given the ubiquitous nature of the species, it is unlikely that the omission of these records will have affected the interpretation of the overall distribution of the species within this report.

# 7.4 Short term trend; Direction

Common pipistrelles can occupy a variety of habitats. There is little information on habitat associations of the species available. Given that there have been no systematic surveys carried out across common pipistrelle sites in all of the possible habitats to assess their condition in relation to the species'requirements, it is difficult to assess any directional trend between this period and the last for 'habitat for the species' with confidence. Therefore the short-term trend direction for the habitat for the species has been reported as 'uncertain'.

# 8.1 Characterisation of pressures/ threats

The common pipistrelle forages along edges such as tree lines, large hedgerows and water edges. Also above ponds, occasionally tree crowns and broadleaf woodland edges. It tends to avoid foraging in open habitat such as above improved and unimproved grassland, arable land, and away from linear features such as hedgerows and rivers. This bat is very general in its habitat preference and can be found equally in 'good' habitat such as woodland/ river edge/ parkland and along linear features in farmland (improved grassland/ arable land). It is also found in towns and cities. As a result, there a wide range of potential threats and pressures - i.e. A05: Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.); A23: Use of other pest control methods in agriculture (excluding tillage); B05: Logging without replanting or natural regrowth; B09: Clear-cutting, removal of all trees; D01: Wind, wave and tidal power, including infrastructure; E01: Roads, paths railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels); F02: Construction or modification (of e.g. housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas; F24: Residential or recreational activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution; F25: Industrial or commercial activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution; H06: Closure or restrictive access to site/habitat

# 10.1 Future prospects of parameters

The future prospects for the habitat for the species have been reported as 'unknown' because there is insufficient monitoring data available to accurately interpret habitat trends.