European Community Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC)

Fourth Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17

on the implementation of the Directive from January 2013 to December 2018

Conservation status assessment for the species:

S2492 - Vendace (Coregonus albula)

UNITED KINGDOM

IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ

- The information in this document represents the UK Report on the conservation status of this species, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.
- It is based on supporting information provided by the geographically-relevant Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies, which is documented separately.
- The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information contributed to the UK Report and the fields that were completed for each parameter.
- The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.
- Maps showing the distribution and range of the species are included (where available).
- Explanatory notes (where provided) are included at the end. These provide additional audit trail information to that included within the UK assessments. Further underpinning explanatory notes are available in the related country-level reports.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; and/or (iii) the field was not relevant to this species (section 12 Natura 2000 coverage for Annex II species).
- The UK-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, https://jncc.gov.uk/article17, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.

	NATIONAL LEVEL
1. General information	
1.1 Member State	UK
1.2 Species code	2492
1.3 Species scientific name	Coregonus albula
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name (in national language)	Vendace

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2017-2017
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to	Annex V Species (Art. 14)	
3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
14 have been taken?	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	1			er hunting sed) over t		
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

4.2 Sources of information

Atlantic (ATL)

England

Bohn, T. & Amundsen, P. 2001. The Competitive Edge of an Invading Specialist, Ecology, Vol. 82, No. 8 pp. 2150-2163

Burgess, A, Goldsmith, B and Goodrich, S. 2014. Interpretation of Water Framework Directive Macrophyte Data for CSM Condition Assessment. Project Reference No: 25552. Report to Natural England.

Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Freshwater Fauna 2015 Common Standards Monitoring Guidance for Freshwater Lakes 2015 Davies, C., Shelley, J., Harding, P., McLean, I., Gardiner, Ross & Peirson, G. 2004. Freshwater Fishes in Britain. The species and their distribution. Harley Books. Elliott, J. A. & Bell, V. A. 2011. Predicting the potential long-term influence of climate change on vendace (Coregonus albula) habitat in Bassenthwaite Lake, U.K. Freshwater Biology 56: 395 - 405.

Etheridge, E.C., Adams, C.E., Bean, C.W., Durie, N.C., Gowans, A.R.D., Harrod, C., Lyle, A.A., Maitland, P.S. & Winfield, I.J. 2012. Are phenotypic traits useful for differentiating among a priori Coregonus taxa?

Kottelat, M. & Freyhof, J. 2007. Handbook of European Freshwater Fishes Mainstone, C., Hall, R. & Diak, I. 2016. A narrative for conserving freshwater and wetland habitats in England. Natural England Research Reports, Number 064. Mainstone, C.P. 2016. Developing a coherent narrative for conserving freshwater and wetland habitats: experiences in the UK. WIRES Water, published Online: Nov 07 2016. DOI: 10.1002/wat2.1189.

Maitland, P. S. 1966. The present status of known populations of the Vendace, Coregonus vandesius in Great Britain. Nature 210, 216-217.

Maitland, P.S. & Campbell, R.N. 1992. Freshwater Fishes of the British Isles.

HarperCollins

Rosch, R. & Schmid, W. 1996. Ruffe (Gymnocephalus cernuus), newly introduced into Lake Constance: preliminary data on population biology and possible effects on whitefish (Coregonous lavaretus). Ann. Zool. Fennici 33 467-471

Winfield, I.J., Adams, C.E., Bean, C.W., Durie, N.C., Fletcher, J.M, Gowans, A.R., Harrod, C., James, J.B., Lyle, A.A., Maitland, P.S., Thompson, C., & Verspoor, E. 2011. Conservation of the vendace (Coregonus albula), the U.K.'s rarest freshwater fish.

Winfield, I.J., Fletcher, J.M & James, J.B. 2004. Conservation ecology of the vendace (Coregonus albula) in Bassenthwaite Lake and Derwent Water, UK. Ann. Zool. Fennici 41. 155-164.

Winfield, I.J., Fletcher, J.M & James, J.B. 2016. Monitoring the Fish populations of Bassenthwaite Lake and Derwent Water, 2015. Report to Natural England and United Utilities (Unpublished).

Winfield, I.J., Fletcher, J.M & James, J.B. 2016. The 'reappearance' of vendace (Coregonus albula) in the face of multiple stressors in Bassenthwaite Lake, U.K. Fundam. Appl. Limnol.

Winfield, I.J. & James, J.B. 2018. Monitoring the Fish populations of Bassenthwaite Lake and Derwent Water, 2017. Report to Natural England and United Utilities (Unpublished).

Scotland

Adams CE, Lyle AA, Dodd JA, Bean CW, Winfield IJ, Gowans ARD, Stephen A & Maitland PS. 2014. Translocation as a conservation tool: case studies from rare freshwater fishes in Scotland. The Glasgow Naturalist 26: 17-24.

Adams CE, Winfield IJ & Lyle AA 2016. Assessment of the Vendace refuge population of Loch Skeen 2016. Report to National Trust for Scotland and Scottish Natural Heritage.

Adams CE & Lyle AA 2017. Assessing the status of vendace in Loch Valley and Daer Reservoir, 2017. Report to Scottish Natural Heritage.

Coyle S & Adams CE 2011. Development of a methodology for the assessment of the quality of vendace spawning substrate and its application to sites in Scotland and northern England. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report 308.

Davies, CE, Shelley, J, Harding, PT, Mclean, IFG, Gardiner, R & Peirson, G (Eds.) 2004. Freshwater Fishes in Britain: The species and their distribution. Colchester: Harley Books.

Harrod C. 2011. Characterising the trophic ecology and habitat use of vendace using stable isotope analysis. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report 282.

Lyle AA & Adams CE 2016. Assessing the presence of vendace in Dubh (Black) Loch, 2016. Report to Scottish Natural Heritage.

Lyle AA & Adams CE 2017. Assessing the presence of vendace in Loch Earn, 2016. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 976.

Lyle AA, Maitland PS & Winfield IJ 1996. Re-introduction of vendace: Phase II. Final Report. Report to Scottish Natural Heritage. RASD/073/96.

Lyle AA, Maitland PS & Winfield IJ. 1998. Re-introduction of vendace: Phase II. Final Report. Scottish Natural Heritage Research & Monitoring Report 131, Battleby, Perth.

Lyle AA, Maitland PS & Winfield IJ 2005. Translocation of vendace from Derwentwater to safe refuge locations. Report to English Nature. LA/C02620/1. Lyle AA, Maitland PS & Winfield IJ 2006. Translocation of vendace from Derwentwater to safe refuge locations (2005/6). Final Report. Report to Environment Agency, North West Region. LA/C02852/2.

Lyle AA & Maitland PS 2011. Translocation of vendace from Derwentwater to

refuge locations in Southwest Scotland (2007/8). Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report 283.

Maitland, PS 1994. Fish. In: The Fresh Waters of Scotland: A National resource of International Significance. (eds. P.S. Maitland, P.J. Boon & D.S. McLusky),. pp.191-208.

Maitland, PS 2004 Keys to the Freshwater Fish of Britain and Ireland with notes on their distribution and ecology . Freshwater Biological Association , Scientific Publication No. 62, 245pp.

Maitland PS 2007. Scotland's Freshwater Fish; Ecology Conservation and Folklore. Trafford: Victoria, BC.

Maitland PS & Lyle, AA 1990. Practical conservation of British fishes: current action on six declining species. Journal of Fish Biology (Suppl. A) 1, 25-54. Maitland PS, Lyle AA & Winfield IJ 2003. Survey of vendace in Daer Reservoir and Loch Skene. Report by Fish Conservation Centre to English Nature. English Nature Contract No. EIT 34-01-006.

Maitland PS, Lyle AA, Winfield IJ & Fletcher JF. 2011. Vendace in Loch Earn. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report 284.

Maitland PS & Lyle AA. 2013. Ex situ and in situ approaches, including assisted reproduction, for the conservation of native species of charr (Salmonidae) and whitefish (Coregonidae) in Scotland. International Zoo Yearbook 47: 129-139. Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM & Lyle AA. 2011. Assessment of the vendace refuge population of Loch Skeen. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report 281. Winfield IJ, Adams CE, Bean CW, Durie NC, Fletcher JM, Gowans AR, Harrod C, James JB, Lyle AA, Maitland PS, Thompson C & Verspoor E. 2012. Conservation of the vendace (Coregonus albula), the U.K.'s rarest freshwater fish. Advances in Limnology 63: 547-559.

Winfield IJ, Adams CE; Bean CW, Durie NC, Fletcher J M, Gowans ARD, Harrod C, James JB, Lyle AA, Maitland PS, Thompson C & Verspoor E. 2013. Positive steps for conservation of the vendace (Coregonus albula), the U.K.'s rarest freshwater fish. Newsletter of the Freshwater Fish Specialist Group 1: 14-15.

Winfield IJ & Gowans ARD. 2014. U.K.'s rarest freshwater fish 'reappears'. Saving Freshwater Fishes and Habitats: Newsletter of the IUCN/WI Freshwater Fish Specialist Group 4: 16.

Bean, CW 2003. A standardised survey and monitoring protocol for the assessment of whitefish, Coregonus albula (L.) and C. lavaretus (L.), populations in the UK. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough 43pp.

Bean, CW, Adams CE & Winfield IJ 2016. Vendace. In: Gaywood, M.J., Boon, P.J., Thompson, D.B.A. & Strachan, I.M. (eds). 2016. pp. 123-137. The Species Action Framework Handbook. Scottish Natural Heritage, Battleby, Perth

Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM & Cragg-Hine D. 1994. Status of Rare Fish: A Literature Review of Freshwater Fish in the UK. National Rivers Authority R&D Report No. 18, 58pp.

Winfield IJ, Adams CE & Fletcher JM 1996. Recent introductions of the ruffe (Gymnocephalus cernuus) to three United Kingdom lakes containing Coregonus species. Annales Zoologici Fennici 33, 459-466.

Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM & Lyle AA. 2011. Assessment of the vendace refuge population of Loch Skeen. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report 281. Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM, James BJ, Duigan CA, Bean CW, & Durie NC 2007 Long-term case histories of ruffe (Gymnocephalus cernuus) introductions to four U.K. lakes containing native vendace (Coregonus albula) or whitefish (C. lavaretus) populations. Advances in Limnology 60, 301-309.

5.1 Surface area (km²)	1000	
5.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018	
5.3 Short-term trend Direction	Increasing (+)	
5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum
5.5 Short-term trend Method used	Complete survey or	a statistically robust estimate
5.6 Long-term trend Period		
5.7 Long-term trend Direction		
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum
5.9 Long-term trend Method used		
5.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)	
	b) Operatorc) Unknown	Approximately equal to (≈)
	d) Method	The FRR has changed since 2013. An FRR operator has been used because it has not been possible to calculate the exact FRR. The FRR is considered to be sufficient to maintain a viable population and is no less that when the Habitats Directive came into force in the UK. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.
5.11 Change and reason for change	Genuine change	
in surface area of range	The change is mainly	y due to: Genuine change
	The onange is main.	dentance change
5.12 Additional information	recorded at both sit	et as 'approximately equal to' as the species has been es considered within its natural range (Bassenthwaite Lake). In addition two new sites have been established in
6. Population		
6.1 Year or period	2017-2017	
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit	number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)
	b) Minimum	
	c) Maximum	
	d) Best single value	28
6.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate	
6.4 Additional population size (using	a) Unit	number of individuals (i)
population unit other than reporting	b) Minimum	
unit)	c) Maximum	
	d) Best single value	8464
6.5 Type of estimate	Best estimate	
6.6 Population size Method used	Complete survey or	a statistically robust estimate
6.7 Short-term trend Period	1998-2018	

II, IV and V species (An	nex B)		
6.8 Short-term trend Direction	Increasing (+)		
6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimumb) Maximumc) Confidence interval		
6.10 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrap	polation from a limited amo	unt of data
6.11 Long-term trend Period	1994-2018		
6.12 Long-term trend Direction	Stable (0)		
6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimumb) Maximumc) Confidence interval		
6.14 Long-term trend Method used			
6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)	a) Population sizeb) Operatorc) Unknown	Much more than (>>)	
	d) Method	has been used because it	pulation. An FRP operator has not been possible to lue. For further information
6.16 Change and reason for change	Genuine change		
in population size	The change is mainly d	ue to: Genuine change	
6.17 Additional information	Bassenthwaite Lake in levels (where they we	recorded in several addition England in very low number re considered as extinct betwand Loch Earn which are ref	rs and well below historic ween 2001-2013), and Lock
7. Habitat for the species			
7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat	a) Are area and quality sufficient (for long-term	•	No
	b) Is there a sufficiently habitat of suitable qua survival)?	y large area of unoccupied lity (for long-term	No
7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used	Based mainly on extra	polation from a limited amo	unt of data
7.3 Short-term trend Period	2005-2018		
7.4 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)		
7.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extra	polation from a limited amo	unt of data
7.6 Long-term trend Period			
7.7 Long-term trend Direction			
7.8 Long-term trend Method used			
7.0 Additional information	Mithin the English ran	to (Dorwont Water and Dace	conthursita Lakal the area and

7.9 Additional information

Within the English range (Derwent Water and Bassenthwaite Lake), the area and

quality of Habitat for the species is compromised by non-native and locally non-native species including competition from fish species such as roach and ruffe, and the smothering of vendace spawning areas by Crassula helmsii. There is also excessive siltation at Bassenthwaite Lake. In Scotland, the area and quality of the occupied habitat for the species is considered sufficient.

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Extraction activities generating diffuse pollution to ground or surface waters (C11)	M
Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (recreational) (G06)	M
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (IO2)	Н
Problematic native species (IO4)	Н
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	Н
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	M
Other climate related changes in abiotic conditions (N09)	M
Threat	Ranking
Threat Extraction activities generating diffuse pollution to ground or surface waters (C11)	Ranking M
Extraction activities generating diffuse pollution to ground or	
Extraction activities generating diffuse pollution to ground or surface waters (C11)	M
Extraction activities generating diffuse pollution to ground or surface waters (C11) Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (recreational) (G06) Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union	M M
Extraction activities generating diffuse pollution to ground or surface waters (C11) Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (recreational) (G06) Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	M M H
Extraction activities generating diffuse pollution to ground or surface waters (C11) Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (recreational) (G06) Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02) Problematic native species (I04) Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic	M M H
Extraction activities generating diffuse pollution to ground or surface waters (C11) Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (recreational) (G06) Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02) Problematic native species (I04) Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	M M H H

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?

No

- b) Indicate the status of measures
- 9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken
- 9.3 Location of the measures taken
- 9.4 Response to the measures
- 9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

Although this is an Annex V species and conservation measures are therefore not required (in the reporting), Scotland have reported these at country level (see country level report). In Scotland, native populations of this species have been lost and those which are now present in Loch Skeen, Loch Earn and Daer Reservoir have been introduced there as part of a deliberate series of conservation actions. Initially these were carried out under the SNH Species Action Initiative, and latterly by the five-year Species Action Framework (see Bean et al. (2016) for an overview). Ruffe and other species native to the UK (such as roach) present a risk to vendace in other parts of its UK range (Bassenthwaite Lake and Derwent Water) and it is important to prevent their introduction to newly established Scottish sites. Further spread of ruffe and other non-native species (both non-native to the UK or to individual catchments/waterbodies) to new sites is now regulated by the Salmon and Freshwater Fish (Scotland) Act 2003. Water quality and the overall aquatic environment are protected through Water Framework Directive-led domestic legislation. Vendace are protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range Poor

b) Population Bad

c) Habitat of the species Poor

10.2 Additional information

Future trend of Range is Negative - decreasing <=1% (one percent or less) per year on average; Future trend of Population is Negative - decreasing <=1% (one percent or less) per year on average; and Future trend of Habitat for the species is Negative - slight/moderate deterioration. For further information on how future trends inform the Future prospects conclusion see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range

11.2. Population

11.3. Habitat for the species

11.4. Future prospects

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

Favourable (FV)

Unfavourable - Bad (U2)

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

Unfavourable - Bad (U2)

Unfavourable - Bad (U2)

Improving (+)

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

Genuine change

The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

11.8 Additional information

Conclusion on Range reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Range surface area is increasing; and (ii) the current Range surface area is approximately equal to the Favourable Reference Range.

Conclusion on Population reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Population size is increasing; and (ii) the current Population size is more than 25% below the Favourable Reference Population.

Conclusion on Habitat for the species reached because: (i) the area of occupied and unoccupied habitat is not sufficiently large and (ii) the habitat quality is not adequate for the long-term survival of the species; and (iii) the short-term trend in area of habitat is stable.

Conclusion on Future prospects reached because: (i) the Future prospects for Range are poor; (ii) the Future prospects for Population are bad; and (iii) the Future prospects for Habitat for the species are poor.

Overall assessment of Conservation Status is Unfavourable-bad because one or more of the conclusions are Unfavourable-bad.

Overall trend in Conservation Status is based on the combination of the short-term trends for Range - increasing, Population - increasing, and Habitat for the species - stable.

Overall assessment of Conservation Status has not changed since 2013. The Overall trend in Conservation Status has changed between 2013 and 2019 because the Range trend had changed from stable to increasing, the Population trend has changed from decreasing to increasing, the Habitat for the species trend has changed from decreasing to stable.

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

- 12.2 Type of estimate
- 12.3 Population size inside the network Method used
- 12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction
- 12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used
- 12.6 Additional information

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

Distribution Map



Figure 1: UK distribution map for S2492 - Vendace (*Coregonus albula*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available species records within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.

Range Map



Figure 2: UK range map for S2492 - Vendace (*Coregonus albula*). Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority.

The range map has been produced by applying a bespoke range mapping tool for Article 17 reporting (produced by JNCC) to the 10km grid square distribution map presented in Figure 1. The alpha value for this species was 25km. For further details see the 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document.